App F IX 4

1936
(mnl)

File No 22 —
Serial Nos. 25, 61, 75, 80, 81, 85, 88, 90, 91

Previous References
mnl 83-35-Sh 3 4 47
mnl 213-35-Sh 7 48
mnl 62-35-Sh 53 4 55

Later References
mnl 167-38 Sh 32 55
mnl 110-44-17, 20, 36, 37

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Zero

Part B for mnl 38

SUBJECT

Reduction of water tax in Stanley Town municipality —

8(91) to the DF Chief No 4 24 4 7 38

WD 0295—GPB—22 500—18 8 87

States that govt agree that the present rate of water tax may be continued without any reduction.

C. INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT 88 15

NOTICE

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram

Charges Paid Rs As

Stamp

Handed in at (Office of Origin)	Date	Hour	Minute	Service Instructions	Words

TO

Recd here at H M.

B —The name of the Sender if telegraphed is written after the text.

Lal Chand & Sons Printers Calcutta—N 249 (B 44)—2 7 35—1 00 000 Bks

The [illegible] Member [illegible] Secretary [illegible] Reply sent as follows - Meet Second Member three afternoon first August Saturday. Letter sent confirming it asking him to inform Member beforehand the purpose of the interview CC Calcutta 29/7

30/7/36

4 58

INLAND REPLY VOUCHER.

A sum of Rs Nil *Ans nure has been deposited for a reply to a Telegram No 15 handled in at Harihar at 9 11 40 M this Form will be accepted within two months of the date of its issue at any Telegraph Office in payment or in part payment as the case may be of an Inland Telegram

Signature of Issuing Clerk



NOTE.—The amount must be written in words and figures.

NOTE.—If this Form be delivered or dispatched within the prescribed time to the Office of which the Telegram appears to have been originally addressed, a Telegram applying for the message will be sent free of charge, and the message will be repeated free of charge. Application for the repetition of the Telegram must be made by the Sender as stated above. A demand must not be made on a Reply Telegram Form which has been purchased by the Reply Telegram itself or by the sender.

The ACCURACY of Telegrams cannot be guaranteed, the Sender and Receiver must accept ALL RISKS arising from delay in delivery or non-delivery, however caused, of any Telegram, whether sent at Repeated Rate or Ordinary Rate, beyond the amount of the Telegram.

Code of the Bharat Telegraphs—1047 (B 173)—6-3-35—2,000 Blks.

There is no Branch relating to Harihar. Then reply
the Telegram makes no mention of any subject-matter

30/7/36

30/7/36

31/7/36

4

Petition dated 30/7/1936
from Mr J Hanumanatha on
behalf of the deputations of
Harohan (Public of Harohan)
& a copy of the proceedings
of the public meeting held on
29/7/1936

order of the H Mr Fel on
the above

A short note may be kindly
put up We Secy may
please be present dated 31/7/36

A short note on the above
put up for Hon'ble Minister -

Fm
1/8/36

5

Revision of assessment on Houses and lands in the Harihar Town Municipality

---

The asessment on buildings and lands was last revised in the year 1921-22 The Municipal Council had not revised the same according to the statutory provisions from that date Government directed in their order No L 1336-45/M1 17a34-6 dated 13/16th August 1935 that assessing Officers be appointed in all ~~Departments~~ Districts for carrying out the revision of Assessment work in Municipalities where such revision was overdue Accordingly Mr U G Chamanna was appointed Assessing Officer for the revision of Assessment on lands and buildings in the Town Municipalities Chitaldrug District He commenced his work in the Harihar Municipality on 4 4 1936 The report of completion of his work and of the result of revision is not yet received

According to the Budget Estimates of the Harihar Town Municipality for 1936-37, the following figures are gathered

Taxes on buildings and lands	Budget estimates for 36 37	Revised 35-36	Sanctioned for 35-36	Actuals 34-35
Taxes on buildings & lands	Rs 3,200	Rs 4491	3,100	2 565

vide P V D (Dno 5287/2)

re calls attention to (Dno 5287/2) increase demand was Rs 2600 now raised to Rs 3200 MW

The Deputy Commissioner, they reported that as a result of revision the Municipal Council expect to have an increase of Rs 600/- over the present demand ~~Further~~ Information has been called for ~~in the matter~~ as to why a provision of Rs 3500/- has not been made for 36-36

Water Supply

P V D (Dno 5287/2)

It is reported by the Deputy Commissioner that the water-rate levied is equivalent to the rate on buildings and lands The provision made under water-tax is Rs 2,900 for 1936-37

As to why the figure Rs 3800/- Shd not be adopted

~~Further~~ Information has been called for, ~~in the matter~~

It may however be stated in this connection that the proposal of the Harihar Town Municipal Council to take supply of water from the Davanagere Municipal Council paying the latter a sum of Rs 6,500/- per year has been approved by Government (G O No 412-14 dated 14 7 1936) for a period of 5 years ~~They are~~ It is stated ~~to~~ that they would also enter into an agreement with the Davanagere Municipality in this behalf

A

Levy of Octroi on certain articles in Harihar Town Municipality

3 The proposal of the Harihar Town Municipality to levy octroi

7

Octroi on certain articles with a view to augment the resources of the municipality has been approved by Government in G O No L 9662-63/ 1 62-35-55 dated 24th June 1936

361 D

---- Sntmd 88 1/8/36

K 'R 3 for 1/8/36

	1935-36	1936-37	
Normal income	16,920	16,975	These figures are according to the estimates framed by the MCC.
Expenditure	16856	16,825	
Closing Balance	4200	4810	(Estimates)

JUD (Octroi file)

× The Dr has stated with reference to the Budget Estimates of 36-37 that the normal income is Rs 17,275-2 the normal expenditure is Rs 16,746 the closing balance falls short by Rs 800

d

752

Petn dated the 1st August 36
from the Settlement of Harbour
in deputation on the 11th inst
Cl on 1 & 36 / setting forth
their grievances

The Dist Secy was pleased
to hand over the petitions & to
direct that a report might be
called for from the D.C. in
detail on each of the points
referred to in the petition esply
the question relating to the
revision of assessment on
vacant sites, levy of octroi
in certain articles at rates equal to
those in force in Davanagere Town &
and the delay in granting licences to
build on the sites in the new extension
formed in the year 1915.

A draft letter to the D.C. Chitaldrug
is put up calling for reports & papers

4/8/36

(Dar Secy).

TYPING SECTION
4 AUG 1936
GEN. & REV. SECTION

611

Add e —Dep om
CHITALDRUG

In reply please refer
No HC-805/36-37
Enclosures

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 5th August *1936*

GEN & REV SECTN
7 AUG 1936

FROM N Sundararaja Iyengar, Esquire, B A
The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

To

M Venkatanarana ppa, Esquire, B A ,
Secretary to Government Development Department
Bangalore

Sir,

I have your communication No L 1072 dated the 4th August 1936 forwarding the petition presented to the Second Member of Council by certain innabitants of Parihar making certain allegations against the administration of the Harihar Municipality I have this day sent the same to the Sub Division Officer, Davangere for obtaining a report from the President I shall submit the same with my opinion soon after its receipt The receipt of further report will be awaited I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Petn of Representatives of Harrison Tom

NOTES

File No

FILE HEADING

FROM

No Dated Received

Diary No | Enclos | Spare copies | Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

NOTES AND ORDERS

To the D.C. Chitaldrug Distt
No L-107-2 Dy 4836
forwards the petition for a detailed
report very early

For Asst Secy
Pro 5/8/36

NOTES—First Sheet

16

552 / 611 / 1908

Allegations against the Admr of the Harihar [illegible]

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Since the report promised by the D C in his letter of 5th Aug/1936 has not yet been received another reminder is put up

9/9/36

9/9/36

To

The D C Chitaldrug Dt

No L 2022 D 14/9/36

Calls for a report regarding the points raised in the petition

RECEIVED 10 SEP 1936 GENL & REV SECTT

Suo A (urgent)

16/9/36

letter No A&C 305/36-37 dated 18th Oct 1936 from the D C Chitaldrug Dt forwarding a report called for in the matter submitted

5) Kind attention is invited to this G/Secl letter No L1072 dated 4th Aug 1936 wherein the D C was requested to submit a detailed report particularly on certain points regarding the petition preferred by certain Gentlemen of Harihar town to the II Member of Council, in respect of the mismanagement of the Harihar Town Mcty

× Flag 'J'

9) A Draft Summary of the replies received from the D C to the several points raised in the letter is put up (Vide Flag 'H') If approved, I will be fair typed & resubmitted

9/11/36.

10) A Sh giving the points raised in the petition and the replies of the DC to them is submitted

Why licenses have not been issued – It is reported by the Presidents for the Construction of Houses on the Sites in the new extension said to have been formed in the year 1915?

the Town Md dl, that in the year 1917 notices were issued to the purchasers of Sites to build houses within 30 months and that on 23/3/1920, only one application was received for the grant of a license, but it was rejected as the plan furnished was not in order It is further reported that no applications for licenses were received from any of the purchasers till 1932

Meanwhile in the year 1924 the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering ~~Dept~~ seems to have suggested that the Sites should be laid out and disposed of according to a revised plan proposed by the Dept It is seen from the report ~~of the~~ that for about 15 years, the purchasers slept over the matter and in the year 1932, two or three owners of Sites came forward for the issue of licenses for the Construction of buildings The D d states that he has personally advised the purchasers to agree to his proposal, namely, that instead of acquiring Sites sold previously and then

12

reforming the sites as per plan & the purchasers shall take up & as per plan modified by the Sanitary Engineer in the same order in which they had purchased the sites originally. The D C has promised to submit a separate report in the matter after consulting the Mll Cl

Further report of the DC may be awaited
SS
11/11/36
MW

Whether the tax on vacant sites has been abolished? The rate was also reduced not What is the present rate?

The D C reports that this has been revised in accordance with Govt No L 6342 Rl 8-26-45 dated 8/3/1927 fixing the rate at 8 as per 100 Sq yds (Vide Flag 'L')

This is correct
SS
11/11/36
MW

How do the rates of octroi now sanctioned for Hanwar town Mkty compare with those in the Dawanapere town Mkty and whether they have any effect for diverting the trade from Hanwar?

The D C concedes that the rates of octroi duty in the Hanwar Mkty are far in excess of those in other Mkts From the Statement enclosed along with the P U D (Vide Flag 'I') it will be seen that item per item, the rates in Hanwar far exceed those levied in Dawanapere town Mkt* Kind attention is also invited to the statement enclosed (Vide Flag 'K') that where it may be noticed that due to the excessive rates of octroi duty levied on articles by the Mkt* there has been a perceptible fall in the

The Mll Committee have to be asked to advise the Mkts concd
SS
11/11/36
MW
13-11-36
MW
16/11/36

13 re export of articles like Jaggery & Kerosene oil Etc, the D C recommends revision of duties But the money to come from the M L Govt

What is the percentage of increase - Kind attention is invited to the revision of Assessment on Para 6) of the P U D where a comparative Statement is given regarding the Valuation of the houses as compared with the present demand ? The particulars given are to be explained by the Assessor when he appears before the Committee

Read this has relevance to

The reason for the enormous increase in particular cases requires to be explained The Assessor officer may be asked to report why he acted like this The Committee will have to be instructed to modify the assessment suitably in all such cases and make the increases uniform & reasonable 11/11/36 MW

16 Re terms to revision and the Valuation after revision the D C States that the increase is enormous in several cases and further states that he has issued instructions to the Revising Authority that all cases of over valuation should be carefully examined and the Assessment modified in deserving cases

Proposals may be called for in the Bill Comm & 11/11/36 MW

The D C States that the levy of water rate in the Mlty which is fixed at an equal amount to the house tax is mainly responsible for the present agitation The D C is of opinion that this is much more keenly felt in view of the revision of assessment on buildings and suggests that some formula has to be evolved to reduce this iniquitous burden on the house-owners

Flag 'A' attd

And the point raised by the D C in his report is with reference to the G O No L 4/12-X-14 Ml 218-35-9 dated 14/7/1936 (Vide Flag) for levy that the Harihar Town Mlty should pay Contribute a Sum of Rs 6500/- to the Davanagere Mlty on account of water supply to Harihar Town The D C is of opinion that the charge is abnormally heavy and works out to just more than two (Rs 2) Per Head of Population and suggests that the payment by the Harihar Mlty

14

The Dir of H & the Davanagere Council may be consulted

E 11/11/36

may be limited to the cost of the water that is being supplied to them, the cost being the actual cost to the Davanagere Town Mcty. This may perhaps be referred to the Director of Health for remarks

DLL 9/11/36

DLL 16/11/36

Allegations against the administration - Harichan Tamuly 25

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 1908/8 1908/2 of 1936-37 — [App F III—2

OFFICE OF SECOND MEMB OF COUNCIL 14 NOV 1936 No 33897

Serial No

Notes for Commission and orders

DS 11/11/36

11) Secy (Secy)

12) Secret Section

MW 13 11 36 L 14/11/36

MW 16/11/36

MW 16 11 36 L 16/11/36

13) A Draft letter to the D C Chitaldrug as per orders contained in the Notes (vide flag 'H') is put up for approval

DC 5/12/36 for L 5/12/36

To

25 Dy Deputy comr, Chitaldrug Dst

No L 426 Dy 11/12/36

calls for further report & proposals on the points referred to by him

14) Please issue a D. memorandum -

D C

15) A Draft D O letter to the D C is put up MW 15/1/37 for approval

DC 20/1/37 for L 21/1/37

The D C Chitaldrug Dt

D O No L 5-20 9 dated 20/1/37

Calls for a further report on the matter

TYPE SECTION 20 JA 1937

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Sno A (WG Tech)

DC 22/1/37

WD 0417 GPB—30 CCO—8 9 6

~~[illegible]~~ may be limited to its ~~[illegible]~~

Allegations against the Administration of the Harihar town Mcty

File No 1908 / 4744 / 1 of 193 — General and Revenue Secretariat

	Serial No
Letter no A b c 305/36 37 dated 18th April 1937 from the Dy Comr Chitaldrug Dt, forwarding his further report in the matter	61
Subject	
1) Kind reference is invited to this Office letter no L 4262 dated 11th Dec 1936, calling for a further report on certain points in regard to the affairs of the Harihar town Mcty. A report is now submitted by the Dy Comr forwarding his replies to the several points raised in this Office letter	'H'
17) Subject no 1 - "Re Allocation of sites in the new extension at Harihar" — It is now reported that the sites have been marked in accordance with the modified plan of the Sanitary Engineer and that the purchasers are also satisfied with the new layout. A copy of the resolution of the Mcl dt 4th Feb this effect has also been forwarded. No further action seems necessary on this point	* 'y' — yes 10/5/37 I agree WP
18) Subject II - Revising revising rates of octroi duty levied on certain articles by the Harihar town Mcty' — The D C has forwarded the resolution passed by the Harihar town Mcl dt 4th Feb to the effect that the octroi rates existing in the	'V'

Serial No

may be awarded 10/5/37 YG WD 19)

in the Davanagere town Mcty might be adopted It is also stated that Govt Sanction would be obtained for amending the taxation Rules, after the formalities prescribed under the Regn have been observed this may perhaps be awarded

Subject No III — Re the explanation of the Assessing Officer for abnormal Variations in fixing the rate of assessment on buildings in Harihar town — The explanation of the Assessing Officer is for perusal Since the Mcty Cl have in certain cases revised the assessment fixed by the Assessing Officer, it is for orders whether any further action is necessary in this matter

YG Z

No further action necessary 8/5/37 The explanation appears given appears 20) & to be acceptable — WD

Subject No IV — Re- Reducing the levy of water tax in the Harihar Mcty — It is reported that the Mcty Cl have resolved that the water rate might be reduced to 50 Per cent of the House tax with effect from 1937-38 The D C has promised to Submit Proposals for Sanction of Govt after the necessary formalities prescribed under the Regulation have been observed this may be awarded

The Accl proposals may be awarded 9/ 10/5/37 YG WD 11/5

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0417—GPB—30 000—8 9 36

Re Administration of the Hanhar Town Mtty

1908 / 4744

M File No 22 — of 1936 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

Subject No V Re- Lump sum Contribution to be paid by the Hanhar Town Mtty to Davanagere Mtty.

The views of the Dn of Health expressed in his letter dated 7/4/1937 addressed to the President of the Hanhar Town M C are per perusal The D C has stated that this question was further examined by the Hanhar Town M C & they have resolved that the Davanagere M C may be requested to supply water to Hanhar Town at the rate of 5 annas per 1000 Gallons A further report is promised Since a reply from the Davanagere M C on this point has not yet been received this may be awaited

XI W1

10/5/37

2) Kind reference is invited to the orders of the Member on the several points referred to in paras 11 to 14 of the notes

3) Reference is also invited to the reply given to an interpellation on the subject at the 2nd meeting in January 1937 to the effect that Govt would pass final orders as early as possible

4) The receipt of further reports promised at A above will be awaited

5) The above office note is submitted for personal perusal & remarks

A / Further report promised may be awaited

10/5/37

This may be asked to be expedited

20/5/37

Ans 10/5/37

26 Sec (Secy) 13/6/37 10/5/37 (Second M)

SECRETARIAT 30 MAY 1937

Re Affairs of the Harihar Town Mlty

General and Revenue Secretariat — Ml File No 22 — 4764 of 1936-37 — [App F III—2

Serial No

25) Since the further report promised by the D C has not yet been received, a Draft reminder for the same is put up for approval

Ml 1/7/37 — Init 1/7/37

Ground Sh L 282 dated 3-7-37

80 Letter No A 6 C 354/37-38 dated 6th Aug 1937 from the D C Chitaldrug Dt, requesting Sanction to the Proposal of the Harihar Town Mll Cl to revise the rates of octroi duty on certain articles imported into the Ml limits

81 Letter No A 6 C 355/37-38 dated 6th Aug 1937 from the D C Chitaldrug Dt, requesting Sanction to the Proposal of the Harihar Town Ml Cl to reduce the levy of water rate to 50% of the house-tax with effect from 1937-38.

29) Submd for perusal with refce to this Office letter No L 282 Ml 22-36-75 dated 3/7/1937 and also with refce to Paras 18) and 20) of the Notes supra The two Proposals now Submitted for sanction, by the D C Chitaldrug are as follows

(1) Reduction in the rates of the levy of Octroi duty on certain articles imported

2 JUL 1937 GENL & SECTT

PUB III

DE IV

D

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0417—GPB—30 000—8 9 36

L.O. Re- Administration of the Harihar Town Mcty

File No 4744/741/2 & 742/2 of 1937 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

~~Minor~~ into the Mcl limits of Harihar Town & also to abolish the levy of duty on Jaggery and Betel leaves

2) Reduction of the Water rate in the Mcty to 50 Per cent of the House tax with effect from 1937-38

Necessary formalities prescribed under the Town Mcties Regn are reported to have been observed and report is also invited to the orders of the ~~II~~ Second Member of Council on these two subjects on Pages 12 and 13 of the Notes Supra

3) The rates of octroi duty now proposed are stated to be similar to those prevailing vide State & Flag I in Davangere Town Mcty from a perusal of the rates now submitted for sanction, it will be seen that the rate of octroi duty on one maund of Tobacco is proposed to be reduced from 1Re to ~~4 annas~~ annas 4/- ~~on 1 mnd (960 lbs) of~~. This reduction is perhaps drastic and out of proportion, considering what as per the rates prescribed under Schedule II of Sec 61 cl (IV) & (V) of the 7 M Regn 1933 a maximum ~~rate of~~ levy of Rs 4/- as octroi duty is allowed on one maund of Tobacco Perhaps the present rate of duty at Re 1/-

The Davangere rate is 0 0 6 per Re value

Re – Administration of Harbour Department –

Serial No

per mt may be retained, if not increased. Section 3 of 1960 Mad Act,
The duty on Dry Cocoanuts is proposed to be reduced from annas 2/- to annas 1/-, whereas the rate prescribed under Schedule II of the Regulation is annas 8/ per cwt. The existing rate of annas 2/- may perhaps be retained

The Advalorem rate is also 0/10/ per maund

32) A copy of the Budget Estimates of the Mdty for the Current Year is put up for perusal (Vide Flag G) It will be seen therefrom that the financial Condition of the Mdty is unsatisfactory The presented Closing balance is not kept up & the Normal expenditure is in excess of the Normal income If the revised rates of Octroi duty as now proposed are approved, revenue derived by the Mdty from the levy of Octroi duties will be Considerably reduced

G (Copies will be sent separately)

33) The Points for orders are

(i)(a) Whether the reduced rates of Octroi duty as now proposed on certain articles mentioned in the P.M.D may be approved, retaining the existing rate on Tobacco & Dry Cocoanuts

(b) Abolition of the levy of Octroi duty on Jaggery and Betel leaves

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Re Administration of the Hambantota Township

Ml File No 2 2 — 1908/2 | 4744/2 | 741/2 | 742/2 of 1936/2

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

(11) Reduction of the Water rate to 50 Per cent of the House tax with effect from 1937 38

Report from the D C regarding the lump sum Contribution to be paid by the Hambantota Ml to the Davaneppe Mlty has not yet been received Further action will be taken after the receipt of the same in this Office

Submitted for Orders

13/8/37 — As regards the reduced rates of Octroi duty proposed by the Hambantota Municipal Council on Tobacco and other Commodities reference is invited to para 5 of the letter of D C Chilaw dated 18th October 1936 wherein he has stated that levy of Octroi duty on Commodities levied by the Hambantota Municipal Council may be brought down to the rates prevalent in Davaneppe From the list of the articles on which Octroi duty is now proposed to be reduced it is seen that that reduction is proposed on the case of Tobacco Sent on this date dated 24th June 1936 has fixed the Octroi duty on Tobacco at Rs 1 per pound and the Council have now resolved to reduce it to 0 4 0 on the other articles the reduction proposed is regarded small In this Connection reference is invited to the Statement showing the Octroi levied on Hambantota Davaneppe and other Municipalities on the 1st Further

I

P U D

B

9

Re- Administration of the Harihar Town Mlty

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 1908/A 4744 & 741 & 742 & of 193 [App F III–2

Serial No

In lieu Octroi duty on Jaggery (0 2 0 per maund) and betel leaves (0 0 1 per hundred) is proposed to be abolished Therefore in the budget estimate sanctioned for the year 1937 the income under Octroi is accepted as Rs 2000 on the ground that the Municipal Council proposed to reduce on some articles and also discontinue levying of duty on Jaggery and betel leaves As such the recommendation of the Municipal Council may perhaps be approved

reference letter of DC dated 25rd June 1937 in the Cyclostyled file

37) As regards the reduction of water rate to 50/ of the house tax with effect from 1937 38 reference is invited to para 8 (a) (b) of the letter of the D C dated 18th October 1936, and to para 1 (b) of the D C dated 25rd June 1937 forwarding the Budget estimates for 1937 38 and on the sanctioned estimates also the water tax under AB (Receipts) is Rs 1 lakh 20 thousand as Rs 1600 taken into consideration the proposed reduction of water rate to 50/ of the house tax The DC proposes the reduction of the Municipal Council and may perhaps be approved for adm

Sanctioned file

2
16/11

38) Levy (1 9)

Please ascertain how the Octroi duty on tobacco ad-valorem on Darwar was at per maund, whether the same now proposed in Harihar will be same as in Darwar

NOTE—Contd Sheet.

39) Submitted with a draft for approval

17/8/37

17/11/37

17/11/37

SECY LOC L EL COVT 17 AUG 19 COVT OF MYSORE

Re Administration of the Hanthan Lours Mills

Re- Administration of the Hanthan Lours Mills

741 / 742 / 2116

File No ___ of 193_ General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

The D C Chitaldrug Dt
No L 2066 dated 20/8/37
calls for further information in the
matter

✓
Sub A 1
@ W
23/8/37
P L D I

Letter No A 6 C 354/37 38 dated 25th Oct 1937 from the D C Chitaldrug Dt, forwarding the report regarding the levy of octroi duty on Tobacco in Hanthan Lours Mills

4) Sucha for Perusal with reference to this Office letter No L 2066 dated 20/8/1937, addressed to the D C Chitaldrug Dt

4) It is reported that the octroi duty at Six-pies per rupee ad valorem is being levied on Tobacco in Davangere Lours Mills & the cost of Tobacco per mana maund in Davangere is also stated that where there Rs 4/15 Rs 6/- & the levy of octroi duty per maund would amount to ~~Annas two~~ ~~Rupees~~ Very few cases 2 ~~to~~ to 3 the D C further states that the duty of annas 4/- per maund proposed to be levied by the Hanthan Lours Mills would be a little in excess of the rate levied in Davangere Mills

Administration of the Haribar Room Reltys

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 22 741/42/2116 of 1937 — [App F III—2

Serial No

42) Kind attention is invited to para 33) of the notes supra which contains the points for Orders

2/19/11/37

DSR for Secy L/S/37

6/11/3743) From the statistics received from several municipalities previous recruitments under the Bakeries and Confectionaries is being reserved in Darbhanga only

Flag I

44) Similar ~~X~~ is not being enforced in other municipalities in Darbhanga JM 29/11/37) 2/27/11/37

45) The proposal to abolish octroi on bakeries and Confectionaries may be approved, as this will help them in their main Darbhanga

46) Similarly octroi on tobacco may be reduced to 4as as proposed, as practically no much objection in Darbhanga was received in Darbhanga

47) Motihara municipality reduced to 8as on a rupee grain tax, as the existing rate of one rupee is the highest in the district and neighbouring city has such a high rate.

JM 29/11/37) 2/27/11/37

48) If members)

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0281—GPB—30 000—13 8 37

Re Administration of the Harihar Town Mcty
— Reduction of octroi duty on certain articles &c —

Ml File No 22 741/742/2116 of 1936 — General and Revenue Secretariat

49) The proposals of the Municipal council regarding the reduction of octroi duty on the several articles may be approved but the water rate may be kept at the present level, pending decision of the question as to what arrangements should be adopted to ensure adequate water supply to the town and how the same should be financed

Ml
30/12/37

4073

50) Form
2123
51) 2/2/14-

52) Draft Go sanctioning the proposal to put up for appl
DSR for Secy (PS)
4/12/37

2/4/14-

53) Form
6123

Further action regarding the reduction of the water rate will be taken up after the receipt of a further report from the A C on that subject
DSR
4/12

54) Form
The D C concerned Dr
The Comptroller to Govt
No A 5218-19 Ml 22 36-89 dated 7/10/Dec 1937
Sanctions the proposals of the Harihar Town Ml Cl regarding the reduction of octroi duty on certain articles brought within the limits of the Harihar Town Mcty

1-10

Re Administration of the Harichar Township

741/742/2116 2.10/5477

General and Revenue Secretariat | Pol File No 22 of 1936 | [App F III—2

Serial No 55

A draft letter to the D C Chittagong Dt to put up for appl
D&R Refer Second Member's minute in
18/12/37 para 49) of the notes supra
for
56 Encl
2
16/12/37

The D C Chittagong Dt

No 5510 Pol 22 36 dated 22/12/37
Calls for a further report in the matter
noted

Telegram dt 10/15 5 38 from the Residents of Hariachar
Town urging approval of the resolution of the Union
Council for Reduction of Water Rate

Second Member's orders on the file dt —

57) "Secy & Sy Refer may be put up"
(Initd) HM 19 5 38

58) Noted as directed. Kind refer is invited to the orders of the II Mr at
20 5 38 para 49 supra The report called
for from the D C Chittagong is awaited
Reminders have been issued to him to expe
the report
for
20/5/38

Secy & Sy)
2
19/5/38

for
22/5
2561.

II Member)
59)
for
24/5/38

Para 6 of the letter
of the D C
Chittagong noted
18th April 1938 (P&S II)

OFFICE OF
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
24 MAY 1938
N 8955

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13 3

for
25/5
26/5

5933 J 6/38

Reduction of water rate in Harihar Town

File No 22 of 193 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

SECOND MYSORE
28 JUNE 1938
No 9918

From the Deputy Commr Chitaldrug
Letr No Ab C 305/36 dy 26 6 38
Forwards the report as called for

(60) The D C's report is for perusal

(61) It is reported that the Municipal Council Harihar is not serious about the question of improving the water supply in Harihar and that they have simply resolved for taking supply of filtered water from Davangere water works, after the construction of settling tanks etc in respect of Davangere water works, which has been taken up by the Govt Engineers, and that they have not considered the question of contribution to be paid by them for the supply of filtered water, in spite of instructions both in writing and in person.

(62) The D C also observes that the financial condition of the municipality is poor, that even to meet the present water supply charges the existing rate of water tax is necessary and therefore he recommends that the present rate may be continued without any reduction

(63) Submitted for orders both regarding para 59 and para 62

28/6/38 for Secy to Govt

2/28/6/38

28 6 38 Endt

II member) MLL 30/6/38

Reduction of water rate
Harbour Town

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 22 of 1936 | [App F III–2

Serial No

Submit note & off letter for approval
2
1/11/-
(Sd) 17/8 for
Secy (J/S)

Home
Fin
27/8
2
2/11/-

91 To Mr Dy Comm Chittaranjan
L 74 of 3/11 re 38
State level the present water rate may be
continued without any reduction



NOTE—Contn heet

File No _____

Serial No

Subd with the file connected with the subject

The fully draft reptes are suggested for consideration :—

(a) yes

(b) & (c) yes

Final orders will be passed ~~as early as possible on receipt of the further report called for from the D C~~

For approval

16/1/37

Dy Secy

18/1/37

18/1/37

OFFICIAL
SO 20
TYPING
19 JAN 1937
NOTE Stamp Sheets

WD 0417 GPB—80 000—8-9-36

File No 46 3120/F of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

L C - Lecture with three copies for 11/37

Copies taken [illegible] -10-37

[illegible] COUNCIL

[illegible]ry [illegible]sion - 1957

Question

3120

[illegible] Dr. [illegible]

[illegible] ll the Government be pleased to state,

(a) whether it is a fact that the leading citizens of [illegible] waited in deputation upon the Secretary of [illegible] Council and made a detailed representation of the several grievances [illegible] by the public;

(b) whether any report is submitted by the Commissioner of the District in this matter and if so what are his recommendations;

(c) what is the nature of the order passed by the Government in regard to each of the grievances brought to their notice?

THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

January Session - 1937

QUESTION, No.56

By Mr D H Chandrasekharaiya

Will the Government be pleased to state,

(a) Whether it is a fact that the leading Citizens of Harihar Town waited in deputation upon the Second Member of Council and made a detailed represent ation of the several grievances felt by the public?

(a) Yes.

(b) Whether any report is submitted by the Deputy Commissioner of the District in the matter and if so what are his recommendations

(c) What is the nature of the order passed by the Government in regard to each of the grievances brought to their notice?

(b) and (c) Yes All the points are being examined and final orders will be passed as early as possible

---

Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

"REPLY"

(written on this margin)



2662

27 NOV 1937



OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL

NOTE

Dated 25th November *1937*

The Municipal Councillors of Harihar told me that proposals for the reduction of octroi on certain articles ~~have~~ shall been submitted to Government in August last I ~~should~~ be glad to have the papers ~~in complete form~~ before I leave this District if possible

Miss

L S G Secretary
with compliments

Please send the file today

27/11/37

File our records

27/11/3

II member)

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
31 JULY 1936
NO. 2M. 51

To,

The Seccond Member of Council

To The Government of His Highness

The Maharaja Of Mysore

BANGALORE

Sir,

Your D O No 42 Dated 29th July 1936 is duly to hand and we are much thankful to you havimg been pleased to grant us an interveiw on the 1st of August 1936 at 3 P M

The purpose of the interveiw is to lay before you some hardships and inconveniences caused by the Municipal Administration of Harihar Town and specially the imposition of excessive house-tax as a result of recent revision, the recovery of heavvy water rates and the imposition of Octroi duties newly on ~~needs~~, Tobacco, Coconuts etc We earne--stly hope that you will be pleased to give us a patient hearing and much needful releif

A copy of the proceedings of a public meeting held for this purpose is herewith enclosed for your kind information

The gentlemen named below will ~~follow~~ form the deputation

1. D.H Chandrasekharaih, Esq B A L L B
2. T Hemagiriappa, Esq
3. M Murigeppa, Esq
4. M Rajappa, Esq
5. H Onkarasa, Esq

Continued

6. H Hanumanthasetty, Esq
7 K Oosman Sahib Esq
8 H Chandrasekhariah, Esq
9 D Bhimappa, Esq
10 B Subbanna, Esq

Yours sincerely,
T Hemagiriappa

Harihar 30-7-1936.

From:-
T Hemagiriappa,
For. Harihar Public

ಸನ್ 1936 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿದ್ದ ಪಂಡಿತ ಜವಾಹರಲಾಲ್ ನೆಹರೂ ಅವರು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕಕ್ಕೆ ಭೇಟಿ ನೀಡಿದ್ದರು. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಕೆಲವು ಸಂಘಟನಾತ್ಮಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಸೇರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞಾವಿಧಿಯನ್ನು ಬೋಧಿಸಿದ್ದು. ಆ ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞಾವಿಧಿಯ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಅವರು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದರು. ಅದನ್ನು ಅವರು ಕನ್ನಡಕ್ಕೆ ಭಾಷಾಂತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು. ಆ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದವರು ಆಲೂರು ವೆಂಕಟರಾಯರು. ಅವರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಭಾಷಾಂತರವನ್ನು ಜವಾಹರಲಾಲ್ ನೆಹರೂ ಅವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡರು. ಆ ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞಾವಿಧಿಯ ಪಾಠ ಹೀಗಿದೆ —

ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞಾವಿಧಿಯ ಪಾಠ — ಈ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸದಸ್ಯನಾಗಿ ಸೇರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ನಾನು ಸತ್ಯವನ್ನೇ ಆಡುವೆನೆಂದೂ, ಅಹಿಂಸೆಯನ್ನೇ ಅನುಸರಿಸುವೆನೆಂದೂ, ಅಸ್ಪೃಶ್ಯತೆಯನ್ನು ಆಚರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ, ಅಸ್ಪೃಶ್ಯರನ್ನು ಸಮಾನವಾಗಿ ಕಾಣುವೆನೆಂದೂ, ಕೋಮುವಾರು ಭಾವನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಸಹೋದರ ಭಾವದಿಂದ ಇರುವೆನೆಂದೂ, ಖಾದಿಯನ್ನು ಧರಿಸುವೆನೆಂದೂ, ಮದ್ಯಪಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ, ಅಫೀಮು ಮುಂತಾದ ಮಾದಕ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸದಸ್ಯನಾಗಿ ಸೇರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ನಾನು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಶಿಸ್ತಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ನಡೆಯುವೆನೆಂದೂ, ಪಕ್ಷದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುವೆನೆಂದೂ, ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸಂವಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯುವೆನೆಂದೂ ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಪಂಡಿತ ಜವಾಹರಲಾಲ್ ನೆಹರೂ ಅವರು ಈ ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞಾವಿಧಿಯನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡ ಮೇಲೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಸೇರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರಿಗೆ ಬೋಧಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಆ ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞಾವಿಧಿಯ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಸಂಘಟನಾತ್ಮಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವವರಿಗೆ ಬೋಧಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಅವರು ಕೆಲವು ಸಂಘಟನಾತ್ಮಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವವರಿಗೆ ಬೋಧಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಅವರು ಕೆಲವು ಸಂಘಟನಾತ್ಮಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವವರಿಗೆ ಬೋಧಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಪಾರ್ವತಮ್ಮ ಅವರು ಮೃತರಾದ ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ಬಹಳ ದುಃಖವಾಯಿತು. ಅವರ ಕುಟುಂಬದವರಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಬಂಧುಮಿತ್ರರಿಗೆ ನನ್ನ ಸಂತಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿರಿ. ಅವರ ಆತ್ಮಕ್ಕೆ ಚಿರಶಾಂತಿ ಲಭಿಸಲಿ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ

ಹರಿಹರ ಪ್ರಿಯ

30/7/36

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to 552 No L1072/Encl 1

To

The Deputy Com-
chitaldrug Dist

Sir,

I am directed
to forward herewith
an original Petition
dated the 18th August 193
presented to the Secretary
Mr Job by certain
gentlemen who waited
upon him in deputation
on behalf the public
of Hariyar Dam, setting
forth certain grievance
and to request you
to be so good as to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

th *193*

Date of issue 4 7 36

Signed by

× to be kindly return with the report

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 03601—GPB—30 000—23 9 35

submit a detailed report on each of the points referred to therein.

2 Information may be kindly furnished particularly on the following points –

1) Why licenses have not been issued for the construction of houses on the sites on the new extension of the Town land to have been formed in the year 1981

2) Whether the tax on vacant sites in the Town was also revised and what is the present rate?

3) How do the rates of Kuruthan Tamby — ction now Lanchman Lawyer with those in force in the Dawanagere Town ? and whether they have the appeal, de ending the tender from Hamlet

4) What is the percentage of increase in the revision of assessment on buildings, as compared with the present demand ? Whether may kindly be treated as urgent

I have the

Yours faithfully

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L1072 / Encl

Dated Bangalore 4th Aug 193 6

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*
Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District, Chitaldrug

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith in original petition dated the 1st August 1936, presented to the Second Member of Council by certain gentlemen who waited on him in deputation on behalf of the public of Harihar Town, setting forth certain grievances and to request you to be so good as to submit a detailed report on each of the points referred to therein

2 Information may be kindly furnished particularly on the following points -

(1) Why licenses have not been issued for the construction of houses on the sites in the new extension of the Town said to have been formed in the year 1915 ?

(2) Whether the tax on vacant sites in the Town was also revised and what is the present rate ?

(3) How do the rates of octroi now sanctioned for Harihar Town Municipality compare with those in force in the Davanagere Town Municipality? and whether they have the effect of diverting the trade from Harihar?

(4) What is the percentage of increase in the revision of assessment on buildings, as compared with the present demand?

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

TD)

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department

* To be kindly returned with the report

Abc 305/36-37
Encls Pn report, 4 sets 1 copy 2 Rep yearbooks
Petn Returned to Govt

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District,
Dated, Chitaldrug, 18th October 1936

GEN & REV SECT
1908
27 OCT 1936

From,

B S Raghavendra Rao, Esquire, I A (Hons)
Offg Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

To,

Venkatarangaiya, Esquire, I A S,
Secretary to Government, Development Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No L 107? dated the 4th August 1936, forwarding in original the petition dated 1-8-1936, presented to the Second Member of Council by the residents of Harihar town, representing their grievances about the alleged ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ mismanagement of the Municipality and certain other matters and calling for a detailed report about these and particularly ~~the~~ the marginally noted points, I have the honor to state that a copy of your communication and the petition were sent to the President of the Municipality and the Sub Division Officer, Davangere, for a detailed report on all the points subsequently on 22nd August 1936 when I was at Harihar, I met some of the Municipal Councillors and the leading townspeople and discussed with them their grievances as set forth in the petition

1 Whether licenses have not been issued for the construction of houses on the sites in the new extension of the town said to have been formed in the year 1915?
2 Whether the tax on vacant sites in the town was also revised and what is the present rate?
3 How do the rates of octroi now sanctioned for Harihar town Municipality compare with those in force in the Davangere town Municipality and whether they have the effect of diverting the trade from Harihar?
4 What is the percentage of increase in the revision of assessment on buildings as compared with the present demand?

2 On receipt of the report No 2/36-37 dated 22nd September 1936 of the President, Harihar Municipality, through the Sub-

Sub Division Officer, Davangere, I again went to Harihar on the 8th instant and inspected some of the houses in respect of which objections were received against enhanced assessment in company with the Sub Division Officer, the President and Vice President of the Municipality and some members of the Council The report of the President, Municipal Council (Mr D S Raghavendrachar, Deputy Amildar) stated to contain the considered views of Mr H C Subba Rao (Sub Division Officer, Davangere) is enclosed herewith in original I am afraid I cannot agree with this in toto and I note below my opinion on the several points raised in the petition after a personal and detailed inspection of the spot and discussion with prominent local residents of Harihar This report was drafted in the presence of the President and Sub Division Officer Davangere who were also with me throughout during the local inspections and discussions referred to above

3 (1) Why licenses have not been issued for the construction of houses on the sites in the new extension of the town said to have been formed in the year 1915?

Kind reference is invited to paras 2 and 3 of the President's report explaining the points in detail I agree with him and beg to add that I have told the purchasers of the sites that instead of acquiring the sites sold previously and then reforming the sites as per plan now sent, it would be better if the purchasers of the old sites would take up sites as per plan modified by the Sanitary Engineer in the same order in which they had purchased sites originally in 1916 The Sub Division Officer tells me that he will go to Harihar and get at the purchasers to see if it would not be possible to make them agree to the distribution of the sites in accordance with the plan of the Sanitary Department There are differences in the dimensions of the sites as originally sold and the sites now formed but by making suitable adjustments in the width of sites now proposed it is possible to make the dimensions more approximately equal where the extent of site now allotted is less, the Municipality may pay at a price to be fixed per square yard and where it is more the parties may be asked to pay for the difference There seemed to be a feeling among the townspeople that this would be a satisfactory solution

and I shall make a further report in the matter as soon as the President can call for an informal meeting of the owners of these sites and fix up matters with them If this is not possible, the sites formerly sold will have to be acquired under Section 17(1) of the Land Acquisition Regulation and taken possession of and resold as ver sites formed by the Sanitary Engineer~~ing~~ and the sale proceeds utilised towards paying the acquisition charges to the former site-owners for their sites acquired, any deficit being of course met by the Municipality

Regarding point 2 - whether the tax on vacant sites in the town was also xxixx revised and what is the present rate

Kind attention is invited to para 4 of the President s report I beg to suggest that no further action is called for on this point

5 Regarding point 3 - How do the rates of octroi now sanctioned for Harihar town Municipality compare with those in force in t e Davangere Town Municipality and whether they have any effect of diverting the trade from Harihar -

Kind attention is invited to para 11 of the President's report For ready reference, I enclose herewith a statement showing the rate of octroi levied on several articles in Harihar, Davangere and Arasikere Municipalities Regarding th I am unable to agree with the Sub Division Officer and the President of the Municipality when they say that the grievance of the Harihar Municipality re the great hardship caused on account of the imposition of these duties amounts to nothing but untenable objections " A mere perusal of the accompanying statement shows that, item for item, the rates in Harihar Municipality are far in excess of those in other Municipalities Davangere is barely ten miles from Harihar and there is a very important shandy held there on every Sunday The shandy at Harihar is held on Tuesday and the imposition of the duties on these articles at Harihar at a xxxhxx rate higher than that at Davangere is bound to result in the Harihar shandy growing un-

unpopular, and that is why they object, and I think correctly, to the levy of these taxes at rates higher than those at Davangere Further, it will also be true that in respect of articles coming from Davangere town, there will be a double levy so far as those that break bulk in Davangere, as they will have to pay octroi both at Davangere and Harihar I enclose also for your information a statement prepared by the President from which it will be seen that during these two months of the levy of these duties there has been a fall in the import of articles, like sugar, jaggery and Kerosine oil tins I enclose also for your information a petition received from the Market fee contractor praying for remission of his contract amount on account of losses due to the levy of octroi All things considered, I beg to submit, that the levy of this duty at Harihar is heavier than those in other Municipalities and requires to be levelled down to that prevalent in Davangere

6 Re para 4 - what is the percentage of increase in the revision assessment on buildings as compared with the presen demand

Kind attention is invited to paras 5 to 9 of the President s report Here again, I wish to state that the report is not quite accurate While average increase may not be more, the increase is certainly enormous in several cases For instance, the valuation of the houses noted in the margin has been increased from 300 to 600 % though no noticeable additions or improvements have been effected These are the instances that are mainly responsible for the agitation against revision of assessment I personally inspected some of these houses in company with the Sub Division Officer, President and Vice President of the Municipality and a number of townspeopl and they all agree with me that the valuation of some of these houses are very excessive As against this, it must be stated that there are several

Dn	Assessment Regr No	Previous valuation	present valuation
I			
E	271	700/-	3200/-
E	272	800/-	5000/-
E	273	800/-	3800/-
H	259	1200/-	6300/-
II			
D	142	1200/-	1500/-
A	58	1200/-	1500/-
D	128	400/-	500/-
D	127	500/-	700/-
D	130	1200/-	1500/-

irked II in
he margin on
revious page

instances where unfortunately there has been noticeable under-assessment I note the cases in the margin* I must state that these cases of low assessment do not affect the result very much But on account of the politics of the town which the Government are aware, unnecessary importance has been attached to these trivial points /ith regard to these glaring differences in valuation I am calling for the explanation of the Assessing Officer separately In the meanwhile I have issued necessary instructions to the Revising Authority that all cases of alleged over-valuation should be carefully examined and the assessments modified in deserving cases I have also issued the necessary instructions to the Sub Division Officer to guide the President (Deputy Amildar) in this very important matter and see that the interests of both the Municipality and the rate-payers are not affected In regard to the cases of under-assessment the Municipality has to take up the question under Sections 69 and 70(1) of the Town Municipalities Regulation and the President has been instructed in the matter

7 Coming now to the other points raised in the petition with regard to the alleged mal-administration of the Municipality it is to be stated that this allegation is purely the out-come of the recent unfortunate incidents in this place I would take this opportunity to state that I have discussed the matter in detail with some of the Municipal Councillors who have resigned and also with those that are on the council now and there does not seem to be much justification for this complaint

8 /ith regard to the levy of water rates there is one point that has to be borne in mind which is mainly responsible for the present hue and cry Under a previous resolution of the Municipal Council passed years ago the water-tax to be levied in the Municipality is fixed at an amount equal to the house-tax i e for each rupee of house tax the water tax to be paid is one rupee The result is that when the assessment

on a building is increased by one rupee the water-rate is also simultaneously raised by rupee one So, the increase in assessment is practically doubled For instance, hate no 497 (Ganesha Krishna Adigar) was originally assessed to Rs 1/3/0 and he ought to have paid a water-rate of rupee 1/3/0 also The assessment has now been revised to Rs 4/- and he has therefore to pay a water-rate of Rs 4/- automatically Thus, while the khatedar was paying Rs 3/- as house and water-tax before revision, has got to pay now Rs 3/- and so this becomes a very heavy burden and is partly responsible for the agitation against increases in assessment Whatever the reasons may have been to fix up the water-rate equal to the rate on buildings at the time when the water supply was first given to the town the levy is felt as very iniquitous by the present generation In view however of the finances of the Municipality it is not perhaps possible to alter it now But some formula has, it seems, to be worked out by which the burden of the water-rate has to be eased

9 In this connection, I have to state that Government have recently ordered in their No L 412-14/11 213-~~23~~25-9 dated 14-7-1936, that a sum of Rs 6500/- has to be paid to the Davangere Municipality on account of water-supply to this town The Municipality is now spending about Rs 5000/- on its water-supply and this will be an increase of Rs 1500/- although of course the Harihar townspeople will get filtered water But considering that revenue on house-tax is only Rs 3200/- and the normal income is only Rs 16975/- the amount payable on account of water-supply appears heavy and works out to just more than rupees two per head of Population Coming on the top of the increased assessment (on the ground that the finance of the Municipality is bad and that) this increase of Rs 1500/- towards water-supply which has to be made good by the rate payers is felt as a crushing burden on Municipal finances I have discussed the matter with the President, Davangere Municipality, and the rate-payers and I beg to suggest that if Government are agreeable to reopen the question, the payment by the Harihar town Municipality may be limited to the cost of water that is supplied to them, the cost being the actual cost to the Davangere Municipality The actual cost to Davangere

Munuk/-

at present is 4 56 annas per 1000 gallons without charging for depreciation on machinery and interest on capital cost and 5 6 annas per 1000 gallons including the above As Harihar town is just at the Head Works site, we may fix a rate of 5 annas per 1000 gallons If this is done, it would always be open to the Harihar Municipality to limit its requirements to the extent for which it could afford to pay In view of the ~~fact~~ fact that the River is close to the town, the majority of the people go to the River for bathing and washing their clothes etc ~~,~~ It is likely that by a judicious distribution the filtered water-supply to the town could be carefully controlled and regulated, and the consumption per head could be kept in reasonable limits about 6 to 3 gallons per head and the cost of water-supply kept down to about 3500 to 4000 as noted in the margin*

Total population of Harihar town -	6884
No of gallons of water required at 3 gallons per head ---	- 55072
Cost at 5 annas per 1000 gallons which is the prescribed cost of water --- --	- Rs17-3-0

10 Regarding establishment charges, kind attention is invited to para 14 of the President's report The cost has to be and will be limited to the scale recently fixed by Government In view of the slender resources of the Municipality (though it is a town Municipality) the question of having an Assistant Sanitary Inspector in lieu of the Sanitary Inspector on Rs 35-2-37 has to be considered The whole time vaccinator is also unneeessary and I have asked the President to send up suitable proposals in the matter to reduce the establishment charges

10 To sum up,

(1) Re the issue of licenses to the owners of sites in the extension, either of the alternatives proposed by me in para 2 of my letter may be followed

(2) Re-the levy of octroi at the rates recently sanctioned by Government in their Order No L 412-14/11 913-35-9 dated

14th July 1936 which are really higher than those in other Municipalities, the Municipal Council might, if approved be asked to take action to reduce the same to the level prevalent in Davangere

(3) Re-the increase in assessment of house taxes also, the revising Authority to whom objections have been made may as suggested revise assessments in all these cases where they are manifestly high In all cases, where the assessment are un-duly low the Municipal Council may take action under Section 70 of the Municipal Regulation

(4) Re-water-rate, the present basis on which the water-rate equal to the house tax is being levied appears to require revision and the Municipal Council will be advised to go into the matter and submit suitable proposals

(5) Re-the agreement to pay Rs 6500/- with the Davangere Municipality on account of water-supply to this town, it is a point for orders whether as suggested in para 6 above the present agreement may not be revised

11 The other points raised in the petition are all minor matters and appears to require no special notice

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Nagavendra

Offg Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

Sta ement showing articles imported from Davangere during the months of August and September 1936

Sl NO	Name of article	For August 1936		For September 1936	
		Quantity	Duty recovered	Quantity	Duty recovered
1	Jaggery	2 lds	0- 4-0	2 Mds	0- 4-0
2	S u g a r	30½ "	3-13-0	9 Mds	1- 2-0
3	C o p r a	4¼ "	0- 8-6		
4	Cocoanut	542	2-13-2		
5	Betel leaves	36 bundles	0- 3-0		
6	Kerosene oil				
7	Tobacco				

B Nashewendra

OFFG DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Statement showing the articles ~~exported~~ imported to Harihar Municipality from other places and the duty recovered on them during the months of August and September 1936

Sl NO	Name of article	For August 1936			For September 1936(up to 20th)		
		Place from which imported	Quantity	Duty collected	Place from which imported	Quanitity	Duty collected
1	Jaggery						
2	S u g a r						
3	C o p r a						
4	Cocoanuts	Hosadurga Arasikere, Tiptur	2390	12- 7-2	Hosadurga, Arasikere	5185	27- 0-1
5	Betel leaves	Surrounding villages of the taluk	4395 bdls	22-14-3	Surrounding villages of the Tq	3286	17- 2-6
6	Kerosene oil	Marmagoa	165 tins	10- 5-0	Marmagoa	292 tins (¼ tins - 8)	18- 6-0 @ 2-0
7	Tobacco	From the surrounding villages of the Taluk	1¾ Mds & 1 Seer	1-12-4	Surrounding villages of the Taluk	4¾ Md	4- 4-0
8	Piece goods	Bombay Ahamadabad Sholapur Madras Bangalore		55-11-9	Bombay, Bangalore and other places		43-14-3
9	[illegible]	Export fees		0- 6-6	Export fees		0-11-0
	Total			103- 3-0			111-7-10

B Nagaraja Rao

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

Statement showing the ~~xxixx~~ Octroi levied in several Municipalities

Sl NO	Name of article	per	Harihar	Davangere	Arasikere	~~xixxxx~~
1	Piece goods	Rs 100/- worth	1- 9-0	1- 9-0	1- 9-0	
2	Sugar including sugar-candy	One maund of 24 lbs	0- 2-0	0- 1-0	nil	
3	Tobacco	-do-	1- 0-0	0- 0-6 per rupee value	0- 8-0	
4	Cocoanuts green	each	0- 0-1	0- 0-½	nil	
5	" dry	laund	0- 2-0	0- 1-0	nil	
6	Kerosine oil	tin of 4 gallons	0- 1-0	0- 0-6 (proposed)	0- 2-0	
7	Jaggery	Maund	0- 2-0	nil	nil	
8	Betel leaves	100	0- 0-1	nil	0- 0-1	
9	Cigarattes	100	Nil	nil	0- 4-0	
10	Fmpty new gunny bags	100	nil	0-0-each (proposed)	0- 8-0	

B Nagavendar

OFFG DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Statement showing the quanity of a
in Harihar

Sl NO	Description of articles	Quantity of articles being imported before the levy of octroi(as ascertained per month) Quantity	Value for August 1936	Place from which brought	Oct dei
1	Piece goods	4 000	Rs 3 631/- Value	Bangalore Ahamadabad Ranibennur Solapur	55.
2	Dry Cocoanut	90 Mds	4½ Mds	Davangere	0
3	Cocoanut	5 000	542	Davangere	
			2390 / 2932	Arasikere Hosadurga	15
4	S u g a r	80 Mds	30½ Mds	Davangere	3
5	Jaggbry	1,200 Mds	2 Lds	Davangere	0
6	Betel leaves	6,000 bundles	36 bdls	Davangere	
			4395 / 4431 "	From the surrounding villages of the Taluk	2
7	Kerosene oil tins	750	165 tins	Marmagoa	1
8	Tobacco	100 Mds for manufacturing beedies etc	Md 1-3½	Surrounding villages of the taluk	
				Export fees	
				Storage fees	1

The rate of octroi on piece goods since 1st August 1936

cles being imported before the levy of Octroi on the dutiable articles
nicipality as compared with those of the ticles
rted after the levy of octroi

Quantity imported after the levy of Octroi					
For September 1936			For October up to 7-10-36		
Quantity	Place from which brought	Octroi derived	Quantity	Place from which brought	Octroi derived
3602	Bangalore Ahamadabad Ranibennur Solapur etc	56- 6	667	Bangalore and other places	10-6-11
3 Lds	Arasikere	0- 60			
5685	Arasikere Hosadurga Sivani	28-15-11			
9 Lds	Davangere	1- 80			
14 Lds	-do-	1-12-0	18 Lds	Davangere	2- 4-0
5567	Surrounding villages of the taluk	28-15-11	1211	Surrounding villages of the taluk	6- 4-11
16 or 292 ¼ tins	Karmagoa	18- 8-0	10	Davangere	0-10-0
8 1/2 Mds	Surrounding villages of the taluks	8- 9-0	Md 1-3-1½	Surrounding villages of the tq	1-10-0
	Export fees	1- 0-0		Export fees	0- 4-0
		146- 2-2			21-12-10

luced from Rs 2/- to Rs 1-3-0

OFFG DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

552
2

To

The Second Member of Council,

Government of His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE

Sir,

We, the undersigned, most respectfully beg to submit the following for your kind consideration and immediate action

1 The Town of Harihar is a small one, containing about 6000 people Due to economic depression since the past six years, its trade has suffered a great deal and all classes of people are passing through a very severe crisis But to our misfortune, the Municipal Administration is adding considerable difficulties to our present position Though we have been urging for rectification of our grievances since the past two years before the Local Authorities, no hearing, much less, any relief, is given to us As a last resort, we have come to you to lay our humble representations and seek your favour

2 The present Municipal Council was constituted in 193 consisting of 15 elected and 3 nominated persons As a protest against Municipal Administration and also the attitude of the Deputy Commissioner, 9 elected and one nominated Councillo resigned their memberships in 1934, and have not till to-day sought memberships again The present Council is merely farcical, consisting of only 9 elected and 9 nominated persons What relief can we expect from such a Body?

3 The Municipal inhabitants met in a Mass Meeting on 17 7 36 ~~30-12-35~~, to consider their grievances and the proceedings of that meeting are already sent to you.

4 The first grievance refers to the Revision of House-Tax, recently The values of the properties have been over-estimated without the least regard to the present depression

5 The next point requiring relief is, exhorbitant - Water-rate prevailing from a long time The rate is equal to the amount of house-tax paid This rate does not exist even in a big Municipality like Davanagere The Revision of House-Tax noted above now adds to this amount of Water-tax - still further

6 The third subject relates to the Contract said to have been given to the Davanagere Municipality to supply water at a cost of Rs 6500/- This is a most crushing burden on the poor Municipality The prosent Water Works of Harihar may - either be improved if necessary, or worked on Tender System at a much less cost

7 The fourth grievance is the intended imposition of Octroi duties on several articles As these articles are - obtained from Davanagere where they are already taxed, this - imposition results in great hardship

Further, these articles will not come to the Town owing to this imposition and be diverted to other places, where Shandies are being held, like Karur (Bombay Presidency), Telagi (Madras Presidency) etc The rates levied are also highly - excessive

8 The heavy Establishment charges now existing is - another point for consideration By removal of unnecessary hands and making suitable adjustments of work, it is possible to reduce the present expenditure by about Rs 1000/- per year

9 It is our view that the Administration can be carried on without going in for fresh taxation But if extra income -

income in considered necessary, we beg to suggest some sources of revenue for consideration The first is the levy of duty on Silks and Threads brought into the Town to the extent of about 2 lakhs of rupees in value per year The second source is the levy of a tax on Bamboos brought into the Town through river and costing nearly about Rs 25,000/- The third source is the granting of Building Licenses for sites disposed of - in 1915 On the whole, it is possible to get from these - sources nearly Rupees 4000/- as extra income

10 This Town is the gate-way of Mysore on the border of Bombay and Madras Presidencies Owing to the importance of this Town, as well as the Sub-Taluk, we pray that this Sub-Taluk may be converted at once into a pukka Taluk

In conclusion, we pray that you will be pleased to bestow your kind and sympathetic consideration on the several matters urged above and afford us the required relief immediately,

For which of kindness, we shall ever remain grateful

We beg to remain,
S i r,
Your most obedient subjects,

Bangalore,
1st August, 1936

D O Rec 305/36-37

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 5th August 1936

Dear Mr Subba Rao,

I enclose herein copy of communication No L 1072 dated 4th August 1936 from the Secretary to Government, Development Department together with a copy of its enclosure, a petition presented to the Second member of Council by Mr Hemagiriappa and others making certain allegations in the matter of the administration of the Harihar Municipality Please obtain the President's report in the matter on all the points contained and submit the same to me with your opinion as early as possible The points raised in the communication should be fully discussed and the report should be clear and full I hope you will pay your personal attention to the matter as the President is new and has taken charge only recently It may also be noted which of the signatures of the petition are concealers cases which are not

Yours sincerely,

H C Subba Rao, Esquire, B A ,
Sub Division Officer, Davangere

Tl Add —Dpm
CHITALDRUG

In reply please refer
No Rpe 205/36-37
Enclosures

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 5th August *1936*

FROM
N Sundararaja Iyengar, Esquire, B A ,
~~The~~ *Deputy Commissioner*
Chitaldrug District

To

M Venkatanaranappa, Esquire, B A ,
Secretary to Government Development Department
Bangalore

Sir,

I have your communication No L 1072 dated the 4th August 1936 forwarding the petition presented to the Second Member of Council by certain inhabitants of Harihar making certain allegations against the administration of the Harihar Municipality I have this day sent the same to the Sub Division Officer, Davangere for obtaining a report from the President I shall submit the same with my opinion soon after its receipt

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

1936

From The President
Town Municipal
Council
Hatton

To 1125 The Deputy Commissioner
Nb- Uva Batalanda District
Nb/ Batalanda
No Ab c 142/36-37
Date 11-8 1936
Encs 2 records and one copy of the resolution

Ab c 142/36-37 File relating to the Continuance of the Special Revenue Inspector as per Pharaut

Monthly Progress reports of Mohalanfa with reviews sent from the Deputy Commissioner as well as from the Sub Inspector Uva

Sir

With reference to your memo No Ab c 320/36-37 dated 8th August 1936, I beg to submit herewith the marginally noted records called for in the above for favour of perusal

The records relating to the levy of water rate are being searched and will be submitted as soon as traced However a copy of the resolution passed in the meeting of the municipal council held on 30-10-1920 regarding the levy of water rate equivalent to house tax is herewith submitted for favour

J Perusal

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

ಜ್ಯೂ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟ್
Ju President

ken

Ordinary meeting proceedings of Hanamkonda Municapality held on the 30th October 1920

Present Mr G Sreenivasa Iyengar President

× × × × × × × ×

3) నాటకశాలకు రోడ్లు మొదలగు వసతి

నాటకశాలకు రోడ్లు మొదలగు వసతి చేయడానికి మున్సిపాలిటీవారు ఖర్చు పెట్టవలసిన మొత్తం ఎంతో అంచనా వేసి ఆ మొత్తాన్ని ఎన్ని సంవత్సరాలలో ఖర్చు పెట్టవలసి వుంటుందో ఆ వివరాలు కూడా నాటకశాలకు రోడ్లు మొదలగు వసతి చేయడానికి ఖర్చు పెట్టవలసిన మొత్తాన్ని ఎన్ని సంవత్సరాలలో ఖర్చు పెట్టవలసి వుంటుందో ఆ వివరాలు కూడా

× × × × × × × × ×

Sdh G Sreenivasa Iyengar
President

"Extract"

వి సంతకాలు
Mr G Sreenivasa Iyengar
President

11-8-36

D O No 2/36 37
Date 22 9 36 Encl 2 files

11 30 am
dtd
22 9 36.

From

The President
Town Municipal Council
Harihar

To The Sub Division officer
Davangere

Sir

In submitting a report called for by the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District on the points referred to in the communication No L 1072 dated 4 8 36 from the Secretary to Government Development Department to the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District as also on a petition presented to the Second Member of Council by Messrs Hemagirigappa and others making certain allegations in the matter of the administration of the Harihar Town Municipality, I beg to state as follows :

2 The first point on which information is sought for in the communication under acknowledgement refers to the question as to why licenses have not been granted for the construction of houses on the sites in the new extension of the town said to have been formed in the year 1915. In this connection it may be stated that the sites were formed according to a plan prepared by the Sanitary Inspector and disposed of by public auction in January 1917 A sum of Rs 7153 was realised from the sale and sanction of

of government was obtained in their order No 6801 - 2 - Mc 110-16-16 dated 25 4 1917 in respect of the sale of sites fetching more than Rs 200/- and the rest were confirmed by the municipal Council in their meetings held on 30 1 1917 and 5 2 1917 respectively Notices were issued in 1917 to build the houses within thirty months and they were all duly served on the parties Only one application was received on 23 3 1920 from one Hosamaneya Shanmukh for the grant of license to build the house but it was rejected as the plan furnished was not in order The applicant did not furnish a revised plan again No other application was received from any of the persons till 1932 In 1924 The Bureau of Sanitary Engineering while inspecting the town instructed orally that the sites should be laid out and disposed of according to a revised plan prepared by that Department as the existing plan was not in order and the revised plan was accordingly sent As this question involved the acquisition of the property already disposed of on payment of huge compensation amounting to (Rs 8000/- a final decision was not arrived at by the Municipality The matter was kept pending till one or two people applied in 1932 and 1934 In their meeting held on 30 7 32 the Municipal Council resolved that the revised plan could not be adopted and a report was accordingly submitted to the Deputy Commissioner on 15 8 1932 under this office No 126 dated 15-8 32 The matter was under correspondence

between the Deputy Commissioner and the Sanitary Engineer till 5 3 36 when a modified plan was sent by the latter to this office for being adopted The Council considered the question again and in their meeting held on 30 3 36 resolved that this too could not be adopted owing to want of funds A further report was submitted to the Deputy Commissioner on 3 4 1936 and his final orders are awaited

3. Only two applications dated 30 5 32 and 27 9 34 from messers N Dattatreya Rao and Hemiage Hemmantheppa have been received This shows that the owners of sites do not take ~~any~~ action to build their houses for fifteen years from 1917 In spite of compelling them to build the houses by the issue of notices in 1917 according to the original plan none came forward though those were days of prosperity This shows that the majority of owners about thirty in number have not so far been serious about the Construction and in these days of depression it can hardly ~~be~~ be expected that there would be any change for the better even if sites are granted immediately The assumption of the petitioners that this will augment the resources of the municipality is obviously wrong It will also be seen that neither the government nor the municipality is responsible for the delay which was occasioned only by the lapse of the owners themselves The connected file of records

records is enclosed herewith for kind perusal

4 The next point on which information is sought for is in regard to the revision of taxes on vacant sites in the Municipality It may be observed here that the taxes on vacant sites in the municipality have been fixed in accordance with the G O No 6342 - Mc - 8-26-45 dated 8 3 27 fixing the rate at 8 annas per 100 sq yds of vacant building sites In view of this order the vacant sites have been measured by the Assessing officer and the Sanitary Inspector and the assessment fixed thereon According to the revision there has been an increase of Rs 140-8-0 in the shape of taxes on vacant sites The increase is due to the reason that the rates of taxes on vacant sites prior to the revision was fixed at 2 annas per 80 sq yds in respect of the sites in the new extension referred to in Para one of this report, in accordance with G O No 2697 - Mc 77-16-6 dated 1 11 1916

5 With regard to Question No 4 in the Communication from government requiring the percentage of increase in the revision of assessment on buildings as compared with the present demand it may be stated that the an assessment of Rs 3069-6-0 has been proposed by the Assessing Officer against an existing demand of Rs 2247-10-0 The increase of Rs 821-12-0 is due to four causes - namely - (1) New houses built on vacant sites (2) Old houses improved (3) Old houses purchased (4) Old houses that were either undervalued or left out without being assessed The increase

under these heads works out to Rs 19-8-0, Rs 89-4-0, Rs. 261-8-0 and Rs 421-8-0 respectively. There are about 60 cases wherein the houses were formerly left unassessed but which are now assessed at Rs 53-8-0 Taking this also into consideration, the increase proposed on existing houses only works out to Rs 748-12-0 which is 33 3% of the original demand and this increase is not at all heavy.

6 The assessment proposed by the Assessing officer is under the consideration of the Revising Authority and final orders fixing the valuation will be passed after careful scrutiny based upon the typical cases wherein sales and mortgages have been effected in recent dates

7 In order to review the situation in detail I have selected a few typical cases of material increase (Statement appended to this report) where strong objections have been received on the ground that the assessments proposed by the Assessing officer are exorbitant Details regarding the existing and proposed assessment are given in the statement and the reasons for the enhancement noted in the remarks column. It will be seen that in some cases the valuation has been determined on the strength of registered sale deeds of recent dates, and in others it is determined upon a comparative basis taking into consideration the locality in which the building is situated, and

the improvements if any effected after the previous revision from the statement it will be clear that the proposed assessments fairly in conformity with the existing market value of the buildings

8 As per Schedule I A(11)(b) under Sec 61 of the Town Municipalities Regulation 1933 the taxes on buildings in this Municipality is fixed at 8 annas for every Rs 100/- or portion of Rs 100/- of the market value up to Rs 2000/- with 4 annas for every Rs 100/- or portion of Rs 100/- of the balance of such value in excess of Rs 2000/- In view of this the minimum assessment that can be levied, is 8 annas, and no question of reduction will arise in such cases unless they be exempt from being assessed In cases where the assessment proposed is Re 1/- the reduction to be effected if any will have to be by half ~~which~~ which in most cases will not be justifiable This the cases that require the scrutiny of the Revising Authority are those where the assessment proposed ranges from Rs 1-8-0 onwards Such cases will be carefully scrutinised by the Revising Authority before final orders are passed fixing the assessment

9 One of the main reasons for which the assessment on existing buildings, has been increased is ~~due~~ to the Partition of houses Sl Nos 1, 21, 22, 23, 29, 31 and 32 in the annexed Statement bear testimony to this fact The rate of assessment on buildings being fixed at

eight annas per Rs 100/- of market value up to Rs 2000/- and thereafter at 4 annas, the assessment on these houses would have been much less than what is proposed now had it been calculated on the total valuation of all the partitioned houses put together. For instance the total valuation of all the partitioned houses, 25-9, 25-A, 25-B and 25-C in Sl No 32 of the annexed Statement will be Rs 6800/- and the assessment would have been Rs 22/- only had there been no partition whereas it is now Rs 34/-

10 The next point for consideration is the levy of octroi duty. It has been alleged that the several articles on which octroi duty is levied namely piece goods green and dry cocoanuts sugar tobacco kerosene oil, jaggery and betel leaves come from Davangere where levy are already levied, that the rates are highly excessive and hence as consequence the trade will be diverted from Honnavar. It may be stated here that much of the trade of this town comes directly from Bombay, Ramboonur Shirkanpur Honnavar, Yegumati, Bangalore and Tiptur. For instance, piece goods are received directly from Bombay, jaggery from Shirkanpur Honnavar Yegumati and Tiptur cocoanuts from Tiptur Arsikere and Hosadurga and tobacco from the surrounding villages nearby Davangere is neither a cocoanut nor tobacco growing area nor is sugarcane grown there No doubt some of these articles are received in small

quantities from Davangere, also the statement attended to this report shows the articles and the quantity of the same received from Davangere and from other places directly since the date of introduction of octroi namely the 1st August 1936 from the statement it is clear that the quantity of the articles received directly from other places bear no comparison to that received from Davangere the question of double payment of taxes does not therefore arise in the majority of cases

II As regards the allegation that the rates of octroi are highly excessive as compared with those of Davangere a perusal of the statement given below showing the rates obtaining in the Town Municipalities of Davangere Arasikere and Tiptur makes the situation clear

Sl No	Name of Article	Per	Harihar Rate Rs a p	Davangere Rate Rs a p	Arasikere Rate Rs a p	Tiptur Rate Rs a p
1	Piecegoods	Rs 100/- worth	1-9-0	1-9-0	1-9-0	1-9-0
2	Sugar including Sugar candy	one maund of 24 lbs	0-2-0	0-1-0	nil	nil
	Tobacco	80 lbs	1-0-0	0-0-6 per Re value	0-8-0	0-6-0
3	Cocoanuts	each	0-0-1	0-0-½	nil	nil
4	green	Maund	0-2-0	0-1-0	nil	nil
5	dry	Maund	0-1-0	0-0-6 (Proposed)	0-2-0	0-1-0
6	Kerosene oil	Tin of 4 gals		nil	nil	nil
7	Jaggery	Maund	0-2-0	nil	0-0-1	0-0-1
8	Betel leaves	100	0-0-1	nil	0-4-0	0-2-0
9	Cigarettes	100	nil			
10	Empty New gunny Bags	100	nil	0-0-1 each (Proposed)	0-8-0	0-6-0

~~It can be seen that exception on tobacco and jaggery the rates of taxation~~ each
~~compare favourably with those prevailing in the other municipalities.~~ No octroi is levied at Harihar on empty new gunny bags and cigarettes whereas it is done at Asankere and Tiptur. Further Davangere municipality have submitted proposals levying octroi on additional articles such as gunny bags and kerosene oil. On the whole each municipality has to stand on its own legs and to think of its own ways and means. Such comparisons as are stated in the petition are therefore unjustifiable. For instance the toll revenue by itself comes up to Rs 30,000/- at Davangere whereas it is only Rs 3000/- at Harihar on the average. Where amenities for good drinking water and a sound drainage scheme are urgent necessities it is the duty of the municipality to provide adequate funds for expenditure. In fact the people of the town have been repeatedly urging for these improvements and to say that their resources are all being tapped by heavy taxation ~~comes to nothing but an untenable objection~~ amounts to nothing but an untenable objection. The question ~~of diversion~~ of diversion of trade seems therefore an unwarranted apprehension. Even at Davangere it is learnt that the same objection was raised when the question of increased levy of octroi came up but it was subsequently found that their fears were unfounded

In other important Town Municipalities similarly situated, such as Hoarkere and Hiphavee, the rates compare favourably with those at Harihar and this has certainly not diverted their trade.
The Preliminary notification regarding the rates was duly published by the Municipal Council calling for the opinion of the Townspeople affected and the objections were carefully considered by the Council the resolution fixing the rates proposed now was passed by the Managing Committee on 18 12 35 and duly accepted by the Council on 30 12 35 Government have sanctioned the present rates in their Order No L 9662-63-ME 62-35-55 dated 24 6 36 and they are in force since 1st August 1936 This may be treated till the end of July 1936
As regards the Contract with the Davangere Municipality for the supply of water I beg to state that the subject was discussed in detail in a Public Meeting held at Harihar where the prominent citizens of Harihar were present and owing to the unsatisfactory and precarious supply from the present Harihar water supply plant it was the unanimous opinion of the Harihar Townspeople that the Davangere Municipality should "arrange" to supply water from its plant the improvements of which was under contemplation then. The same matter came up before the Sanitary Engineer and the Deputy Commissioner who visited the place more than once in this connection From the constant troubles that the old Harihar plant has been giving the plant has to be scraped sooner or later and the municipality is not in a position to provide capital cost

The matter was fully gone into and the towns people of Harihar were fully aware of this new arrangement for the first one year The matter was also discussed in the presence of the Public at Harihar T B when the then second Member of council visited Harihar and all were in favour of getting adequate water supply through the Davangere Plant After a careful consideration of the matter in consultation with the municipality, and the Public and the Sanitary Engineer, Government have in their order No L 412 - 14 - ML 213 35 9 dated 14 7 36 sanctioned this arrangement According to the present arrangement the Harihar municipality is spending on an average Rs 5000/- as against Rs 6500/- now sanctioned for the improved arrangement. The small increase is not at all considerable having regard to the better quality and the more assured and filtered and Chlorinated supply of water the benefit of which the townspeople will enjoy hereafter To say that this is the most "crushing burden" on the municipality is to say anything but the real facts

As regards the heavy establishment "charges" it must be stated that a scale has been fixed by the recent G.O. No, L 611-21-ML 16-35-82 dated 25-7-36 and, this will be adopted ~~Going to the persistent default of~~ As there were an arrears of about ~~Rs 8000/-~~ Rs 12000/- to be collected in the municipality in 33-34, Government

Government sanctioned the appointment of a Special Revenue Inspector and his hand till the end of December 1936.

With regard to the resignation of Municipal Councillors, I beg to state that on 23-12-34 the marginally noted Municipal Councillors of whom Sl Nos 1, 6 and 7 are the signatories to the petition resigned their seats on the ground

Elected members

Sl No	Name
1	Messrs H Chandrasekhariah
2	Patel Shivabasappa
3	Neelu Rudrappa
4	Irine Talajappa
5	G Maruyappa
6	T Hemagiriappa
7	Hemmage Hanumanthappa
8	M Rajappa
9	M Beerappa

Nominated member

10	Onkarrao Sahuji Hamsagurada

that they could not intervene the Deputy Commissioner A Bye election was held as per Deputy Commissioners order No A6 PR 143/3435 dated 27 12 34. Only two candidates Messrs Sheik Budan Saba and B. Abdul Mannan Saba stood for election Under Section 16 of the Town Town Municipalities Regulation 1933 and the Rules framed thereunder the above two persons

were, elected as councillors in existing vacancies and Mr Mahadeva Rao Telkar was appointed a councillor in place of Mr Onkara Sahuji Hanmasagarada resigned Under Sec 9 (3) of the said Regulation the following gentlemen were appointed as councillors in existing vacancies consequent on failure to elect under Sec 16 of the regulation (Vide Notification No L 6083-ME-34-34-4 dated 5-3-35 from the Development secretary to Government to the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District)

Messrs
1) Mogalappanavara Subbanna bin Eswarappa
2) Murkal Murigappa
3) Patel Mallappa
4) H P Channappa
5) Virupakshe Sahuji
6) Channappa Hanmsagarada
7) Narayan Dattatreya Rao

The connected records are submitted herewith for favour of perusal

It will be seen from the statement in the petition that these councillors resigned on account of the bad municipal administration is not based upon facts ~~Among~~ Among the ten signatories to the petition, Mr Mahamad Usman is the only member in the present constitution it is seen that he is a local dealer in tobacco

and his agitation seems to be for lowering the rate of octroi on tobacco

Ever since the date of re election the reconstituted Council is working fairly satisfactorily and it is not understood how suddenly after a year and a quarter this Council became a farcical and ineffecient one in the eyes of the public

It may not be out of place to add here that all this agitation started only recently when an association of the rate payers of Harihar was called for by the leading men of Harihar on 24 5 36 by the distribution of leaflets (one which is enclosed herein for kind perusal) under the signature of Mr Hemagirayappa the first signatory to the petition protesting words mentioning ensued from this meeting and a meeting was called for again on 27 7 36 by the same persons this meeting was convened under the presidentship of Mr H Siddaveerah an advocate of Davangere who owns no property in Harihar Town Resolutions were passed condemning the actions of the municipal council and a deputation to government was proposed led by another advocate of Davangere Mr D H Channabasekhamah the agitation having taken this wider aspect only recently seems to show that this is not so much an agitation against the existing situation at Harihar but against some other grievance in regard to which the

present circumstance of the Revision of taxes at Haribar has been fully explored

The reference received from you along with the enclosures are returned herewith The two files of records sent herewith may be kindly returned when done with

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most Obedient servant
D S Raghavendrachar,
President
22/9/36

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug &c

The above report Contains my Commands Views on each of the matters referred to in the Petition

Haribar is the first important Town on the Bombay side and it is necessary to develop it into a neat Sanitary place It is a principal place of pilgrimage attracting a large number of devotees from My Sore State as well as the adjoining British Provinces The Municipal Council Can ill afford to neglect their main responsibility in the matter of providing good drinking water a Satisfactory drainage and proper roads and Conservancy The proposal to levy Octroi

has been well considered and submitted and the same having been duly approved has been brought into force I therefore there is no justification for reversing the rates so soon The question may be reviewed by the next Municipal Council in August 1937

There has been no extraordinary increase in the Assessment by the Special Assessing Officers he has in my opinion erred on the side of leniency There are some cases in which increases are called for and these are pointed out to the President and to the Chairman of the Committee of revision

The other matters are not of such importance for the Municipality

Secretary
22/9/36

S.D.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of l tter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 11/12/36

Signed by Mr. B. M

List of enclosures

Copied by 9/12

Examined by

Section Nl

File No 22-36

Serial No 25

No L 4262

To

The D C Chitaldrug Dt, Chitaldrug

Sir,

With refce to the Correspondence ending with your letter No AB C 305/36-37 dated 18th Oct 1936 forwarding your report on the several allegations made by Certain inhabitants of Harihar town against the administration of the Harihar town Mnty, I am directed to observe as follows:—

1) The further report promised by you in Para 3 of your report regarding the question of the Purchase of files in the new extension agreeing to the allocation of files between the new extension and the plan suggested by the Sanitary

ingineer may be submitted very early

2) Regarding Para 5 of your report, stating that the rates of Electricity now levied by the Harihar Town Mcty are far more excessive, than those prevailing in other Municipalities, the Ml Cl may be advised to take needful action to reduce the rates very early

3) Regarding Para 6 of your report in the matter of the revision of assessment of buildings & lands in the Mcty by the Assessing Officer, you agree that the instructions given by you to the Ml Cl are suitable the explanation of the Assessing Officer for abnormal variations in the assessments of buildings in excess as made by him may be obtained and forwarded to you

4) Regarding your report that the levy of the water tax is excessive and some formulae has to be evolved to reduce this unjustifiable burden, proposals in the matter may be called for from the Ml Cl and submitted for the orders of Govt

5) Re Para 9 of your report in re to the lumpsum Contribution paid by the Harihar Town Mcty to the Davanagere Town

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

Minn Mtg for the supply of water,
the Dr of Health & ~~the~~ the Davenagers
Minn Mtl Bd may be consulted in the
matter and a report submitted ~~as~~
to Govt ~~for the necessary action~~ for consideration x
~~matter~~

I have the
5/12/36
Mr Secy to Govt
Dev Dept
WLL
5/12/36

WD 0255—GPR—10 000—93 8 3

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 9th December *193*6

No L 4262/ml

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*
Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District Chitaldrug

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No A6 C 305/36-37 dated 18th October 1936 forwarding your report on the several allegations made by certain inhabitants of Harihar town against the administration of the Harihar Town Municipality I am directed to observe as follows -

1 The further report promised by you in para 3 of your report regarding the question of the purchasers of sites in the new extension agreeing to the allocation of sites therein as per plan suggested by the Sanitary Engineer may be submitted very early

2 Regarding Para 5 of your report stating that the rates of Octroi duty now levied by the Harihar town Municipality are far more excessive than those prevailing in other Municipalities and require to be levelled down to those in Davangere, the Municipal Council may be advised to take needful action to revise the rates very early

3 Regarding Para 6 of your report in the matter of the revision of assessment on buildings and lands in the Municipality by the Assessing Officer Government agree that the instructions given by you to the Municipal Council are suitable The explanation of the Assessing Officer for abnormal variations in the assessment of buildings in certain cases as made by him may be obtained and forwarded to Government

4 Regarding your report that the levy of the water tax is excessive and some formula has to be evolved to reduce this iniquitors burden proposals in the matter may be called for from the Muni-

Municipal Council and submitted for the orders of Government

5 Regarding Para 9 of your report in re to the lumpsum contribution that has been ordered by Government to be paid by the Harihar town Municipality to the Davangere town Municipality for the supply of water the Director of Health and the Davangere town Municipal Council may be consulted in the matter and a report submitted to Government for consideration

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

For Secretary to Government
Development Department

Appendix F G –1]

SUBJECT__

Section Rl

File No 22 36

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

Do No L 5-209

To

Dear Sir,-

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 20/1/37

Signed by Mr Bankeshwar

I am desired to invite reference to the correspondence ending with this Office letter No L 4262 dated 9/11th Dec 1936 calling for a further report on the suggestions made by you on the several allegations made by certain inhabitants of Hanhar Town, against the Administration of that Polity and to request ~~you to be good~~ that the submission of the said report may be expedited

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent

Yours Sincerely

Rl Navaneetham Naidu Supdt RL

20/1/37

D C Chitaldrug Dt

Tl Add —D p m
CHITALDRUG

In r ply pl s fe to
N AG C 305/36-37
Encl sures

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 23rd January *1937*

1908 / 3457

FROM

M NAVANEETHAM NAIDU Esq BA BL
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

GEN SECTT
26 JAN 37
L.B.L. BANGALORE

To

I VENKATANARAYANAPPA Esq B A ,
Secretary to Government o Bangalore,
Development Department BANGALORE -

Sir,

With reference to your D O NO L 5209/L1 22-36 dated 20th January 1937, calling for a further report about the affairs of the Harihar Town Municipality, I have the honor to state that the detailed report called for from the President Harihar Municipality, through the Sub Division Officer, Davangere has not yet been received He has forwarded a copy of the resolution dated 30th December 1936 stating that the subject would be referred to the Sub Committee to be formed after the reconstitution of the Council from 1st January 1937 An urgent D O reminder has been issued to him and I have also given special instructions On receipt of his report, a further communication will be submitted

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Navaneeth

OFFG DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Put up for perusal
Further report in the matter will be awaited
26/1/37

27/1/37

For Secy)

29/1/37

TYPING SECTION

no reply has yet been received
Draft reminder is put up
18/2/37

18/3/37

No 6955

To
The D.C.
Chitaldrug

Sir,

I am directed to make reference to the correspondence with your letter No D C 305/86-37 dated the 23rd January 1937 promising to submit a further report in regard to the affairs of the Harihar Town Municipality & to request that the submission of the report called for may be expedited

I have etc
[illegible]
18/3/37
for Secy to Govt

D 31/3/37
Legislative Dept

I believe a report was sent here a few days back - Please Verify & put up

[illegible]
19/3/37

Note
From a perusal of the papers in the file, it is seen that no further report from the D C Chitaldrug regarding the affairs of the Harihar Town Municipality has been recently received
The typed draft is put up for Signature

[illegible]
30/3/37

Dlc
29/3/37 Issued No L 6955 / Dated 31/3/37

[illegible]
5/4/37

[illegible]
11/3/37

MC 22-80-6/4744

A-6 C 305/36-37

[4 Resolutions 2 letter Copies 1 Explanation and 1 sketch -]

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District,
Dated, Camp Hosadurga, 13th April 1937

GEN SECTT. IV
22 APR
LL & BRANCH

From,

Navaneetham Naidu, Esquire, B A, B L,
Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

To,

Vedavyasacharya, Esquire, B A,
Secretary to Government, Development Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 4262/M 9/11th December 1936, calling for a further report on certain points in regard to the affairs of the Harihar Town Municipality, I have the honor to state as follows -

I have visited Harihar town in this connection, made also a joint inspection with the Sanitary Engineer (mr B R Garudachar), met some of the Municipal Councillors and made enquiries and also ascertained the views of the Municipal Council in the matter

Regarding para 1 of your letter, in the matter of the allocation and disposal of sites in the new extension I discussed this matter with the Sanitary Engineer and inspected this extension area jointly with him and ascertained the wishes of the Municipal Councillors and the people Agreeably to their wishes and as per instructions of the Sanitary Engineer, the lay out was got marked on the ground A modified plan was prepared accordingly and sent to the Sanitary Engineer and it was received back duly approved The Sanitary Engineer has made a note on the plan "I showed this plan to the Dewan He approved of the lay out" - The sites have been marked in

in accordance with this modified plan of the Sanitary Engineer The purchasers are also satisfied now with this new lay out The Municipal Council has also resolved to adopt this lay out of sites (vide enclosed copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council meeting held on 3-2-1937) This question of sites has thus been settled

Regarding para 2 of your letter, in the matter of revising the rates of octroi duty - As instructed, the Municipal Council has taken necessary action to reduce the rates of octroi duty, in line with the rates prevailing in the neighbouring Davangere Municipality The subject was considered by the Davangere Municipal Council at its meeting held on 27-1-1937, when it was resolved that the octroi rates existing in Davangere Municipality might be adopted and that the levy of octroi on jaggory and betel leaves might be discontinued A copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 27-1-1937 is enclosed herein for kind reference In order to give effect to it, the Municipal President has been instructed to observe the formalities prescribed in Section 62 of the Regulation and to submit the papers separately for obtaining sanction of Government to modify the bye-laws accordingly

Regarding para 3 of your letter in the matter of the revision of assessment on buildings and lands in the Municipality by the Assessing Officer - As called for, the explanation of the Assessing Officer, Mr U G Shamanna, in the matter of abnormal variations, has been obtained and is, herewith, forwarded to Government

Regarding para 4 of your letter, in the matter of reducing the levy of water tax - Proposals in the matter were called for, from the Municipal Council It is reported that the Municipal Council has resolved that the water rate might be reduced to 50% of the housetax with effect from 1937-38 A copy of the resolution of

of the Council dated 27-1-1937 is enclosed herein for kind reference Before sanction could be obtained for this resolution, the formalities prescribed in Section 62 of the Municipal Regulation have to be observed by the Municipal Council The President has been instructed to submit separately the papers for obtaining sanction of Government, after observing the formalities

6 Regarding para 5 of your letter, in the matter of the lump sum contribution to be paid by the Harihar Town Municipality to the Davangere Municipality for the supply of water - Both the Director of Health and the Davangere ~~Municipal~~ Municipal Council have been consulted in the matter The Director of Health has stated (vide copy of his letter No C 1320-6 dated 7-4-1937 enclosed) that while making arrangements with the Davangere Municipality, arrangements may be made for the supply of 70,000 gallons per day, i e at 10 gallons per head In this connection, the Davangere Municipal Council had first resolved that the Harihar Town Municipality should give an undertaking to take up at least a minimum quantity of 40,000 gallons a day at 5 6 annas per 1000 gallons On a further request made by the Harihar Municipality to reduce the rate to 4 annas per 1000 gallons, the Municipal Council, Davangere has declined to reconsider their previous resolution dated 17-2-1937, as the rate was based on actual cost A copy of the report together with those of its enclosures, received from the President, Davangere Municipality in the matter, is enclosed for information The President,Harihar Municipality has reported that the question was further considered by the Harihar Municipal Council, at the meeting held on 31-3-1937, when it was resolved that the Municipal Council, Davangere, may again be requested to supply water at 5 annas per 1000

gallons

The Harihar Municipality has written to the Davangere Municipality
The reply of the Davangere Municipality is awaited and on receipt,
a further communication will be made to Government

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District

10/10.4.37

Letter No C 1320-6 dated 7-4-1937 from the Director of Health in Mysore to the President, Harihar Town Municipality

---

With reference to your letter No 73/36-37 dated 19th March 1937, forwarding a copy of the report by the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, I have the honor to inform that it is suggested that water to be consumed in Harihar Town may be calculated at 6 to 8 gallons per head Though the majority of the people go to the River for bathing, it is better that an average of 10 gallons per head of population is provided for, while getting into any arrangement with the Davangere Municipality, as otherwise the quantity consumed will be reduced to a minimum which will endanger the public health of the town While making arrangements with the Davangere Municipality, arrangements may be made for the supply of 70,000 gallons per day i e at ten gallons per head

---

"COPY

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District

Letter No G C 408/36-37 dated 29th/30th March 1937 from the President, Davangere Municipal Council to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

---

With reference to your memo No A6 C 305/36-37 dated 26-3-1937 requesting me to intimate the stage at which the question of reducing the lumpsum constribution due by Harihar ~~Municipal Council~~ Municipality stands, I have the honor to state that the President, ~~Municipal~~ Municipal Council, Harihar, forwarded on 24-1-1937 an extract of the resolution of the Harihar Municipality which was placed before the Municipal Council on 17-2-1937 and it was resolved that the Harihar Municipality should give an undertaking to the effect that they would take minimum quantity of 40,000 gallons a day and pay a sum calculated at 5 6 ~~xxxxx~~ per 1,000 gallons, this being paid on monthly bills presented &c On receip of this information, the President, Harihar Municipality forwarded on 20-2-1937 for consideration a copy of the resolution passed in their meeting held on 19-2-1937 This was in turn, placed before the Municipal Council held on 17-3-1937 and the Davangere Municipa Council resolved that it is not in a position to reconsider the resolution passed on 17-2-1937 with regard to the rate already decided, it being based on the actual cost price

Copies of the resolutions passed on 19-2-1937 and 17-3-1937 are herein enclosed for kind information

---

"C O P Y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

Proceedings of the Special Meeting of the Hanagal Town Municipal Council held on 3/2/1937

1	Mr	H Abdulwahab Sheriff President	P
2	"	The Assistant Engineer Pro D Dharwar	A
3	"	The Sub assistant Surgeon Hanagal	P
4	"	Dingaje Ramakrishnarao	P
5	"	Moorkal Murigappa	P
6	"	H S Honnerao	P
7	"	Syed Abdul Rubsaheb	A
8	"	J Hemagirigappa	A
9	"	Hanmage Hanumanthappa	P
10	"	patel Mallappa	P
11	"	R T Gowdarao	P
12	"	Gowdara Malkappa	A
13	"	Mallaresa Lasya	A
14	"	R Mohammad Hayatsab	P
15	"	Kapate Halappa	P
16	"	Pandit Rangerao Gurjar	P
17	"	Siggle Kotreppa	A
18	"	Masbu Khasim Sab	A
19	"	Yaresime Basappa	A
20	"	Adikarnatakara Hanyappa	A
21	"	Ambigara Boranakara Basappa	P

I [illegible] extension [illegible]

[illegible]

Resolved that the Sites in the Mir Safder Khana extension as per modified plan made by the Sanitary Engineer in his letter No 2774 dated 27-1-37 be adopted for the town Extension and that a Statement of Sites as per revised plan be prepared and that Sites given possession of to the concerned parties after the recovery of extra cost if or refund of excess, as per rates at which the Sites have already been disposed of

Further Resolved that the buildings in the Shop Sites (No 1-12) be erected as per a uniform approved type design

Sd/- I Abdulwahab Sheriff
President

Ex 57/36 37

Copy of the above is Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug Destrict Chitaldrug, through the Sub Division Officer Davangere, for his perusal.

I Abdul Wahab Sheriff
President
Town Municipal
Kotha Hobli

DIVISION OFFICE
R2
FEB 5 - 1937
5555
DAVANGERE

Special Meeting Proceedings of the Harihar Town Municipal Council held on 27-1-1937

Present Mr K Abdulla Wale Sharif President

No of councillors 21
No present 17

x x x x x x x x x x : 2

Resolved that the octroi rates now existing in Davanagere Municipality may be adopted in this municipality as detailed below

1) Piece goods Rs 100 worth 1-9
2) Sugar per mans 0-1
3) Tobacco — do — 0-4
4) cocoanuts (green each) 0-0 1/2
5) — do — dry per mds 0-1
6) Kerosene oil tin (2 gls) 0-0 6

Further resolved that levy of octroi on Jaggary and betel leaves be discontinued

Resolved that the levy of octroi as per above rates be given effect to as early as possible

Resolved that the water rate be reduced to 50% of the House tax and this may be given effect to from the year 1937-38

Sd/- K. Abdulla Wale Sheriff
President

"Extract"
K. Abdulla Wale Sheriff
President

28/1/37



Proceedings of the ordinary meeting of the Town Municipal Council, Davangere held on 17th February 1937.

R Seetharama Iyengar, Esqr , Assistant Commissioner, Davangere Sub-Division, Present

x x x

Subject No 20

Re the question of supplying drinking water to the Harihar Town from Davangere Water Works

Resolution

Read letter No G C 72/36-37 dated 24-1-1937 from the President, Harihar Town Municipality forwarding copy of the views of the Deputy Commissioner's report No 18 dated 18-10-1936 to the Government in the matter of supplying water to Harihar Town from Davangere Water works and it was resolved as follows

1 That the Harihar Municipality should give an undertaking that they will take minimum quantity of 40,000 gallons a day and pay a sum calculated at 5 6 annas per 1,000 gallons, this being paid on monthly bills presented

2 That if the Municipality wants any quantity of water higher than 40,000 gallons it is optional to the Davangere Municipality to increase such supply subject to the payment at the rate specified above

3 That the quantity of water supplied shall be gauzed by a meter and other necessary arrangements at the Head Works The capital cost of this arrangement shall be invested by the Davangere Municipality on condition of the Harihar Municipality undertaking to pay a rent at 6% per annum on the capital cost

4 The Davangere Municipality shall not be held responsible for any accidental stoppage of water

5 That there be a reciprocal agreement, each Municipality binding itself to the above conditions

6 That the Water Supply will be governed by the bye-laws in force from time to time in Davangere Muni-cipality

x x x

Sd/- K R Seetharama Iyengar,
President

"Copy"

President,
Davangere Municipality

Proceedings of the ordinary meeting of the Town Municipal Council, Davangere held on 17-3-1937 at 5 p m

---

Present - H Kottur Basavappa, Esqr , B A ,LL B , Vice-President

x x x x

Subject o 16	Resolution
Consideration of resolution No I dated 19-2-37 of the Town Municipal Council, Harihar, requesting to reconsider the decision of the Municipal Council Davangere re Water Supply to Harihar Town and to reduce the rate to Re.0-4-0 per 1000 gallons	The Municipal Council is not in a position to reconsider the resolution passed on 17-2-37 with regard to the rate as the rate already decided is based on the actual cost price

x x x x

Sd/- H Kottur Basavappa,
Vice-President

"Copy"

Subhanvar selbaru Rao

President,
Davangere Municipality

N-018 Enc 4
36-37

TO

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

Chitaldrug District,

C h i t a l d r u g

Thro'

The President,

Harihar Municipality,

H a r i h a r

Sir,

Re the valuation of buildings in the Harihar Municipality

--o--

With reference to para 3 of letter No 4262/M1 , 8th/11th Decr 1936, from the Secretary to Government, Development Department to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, I beg to submit the following report with necessary statistical information showing the basis on which valuations are set by me in respect of the buildings at Harihar for your kind perusal and favourable consideration

2 In obedience to your kind directions in person to submit my explanation on the observations made by the Deputy Commissioner, in respect of my work in the Harihar Municipality, I approached the Sub-Division Officer, Davangere, under whose kind instructions the President, Harihar Municipality was pleased to allow me facilities to go through (1) the registers and papers dealt with by me while I served in the Municipality (2) the report of the President stated to contain the considered views of the Sub-Division Officer who checked my work at each stage throughout my service in the said Municipality and (3) the report of the Deputy Commissioner to Government

3 The points observed by the Deputy Commissioner and

in

and in respect of which my explanation is required fall under only the two classes named below -

Class I - Cases of enormous increase in the proposed assessment

a n d

Class 2 Cases of under valuation brought to his notice during the inspection of the buildings in support of which the Deputy Commissioner has kindly cited 4 and 5 instances respectively as most glaring ones

As regards the cases of enormous increase, I beg to state that I have already made a mention of this in my report of 1st Aug 1936 and stated that there are several cases where the existing assessment is abnormally low It is only in respect of the particular properties of this kind cited in the statement submitted along with my report of 1st Aug 1936, that enormous increases in valuation are now observed by the Deputy Commissioner With a view to give a clear idea about the ~~proble~~ probable market value of the several buildings I have herewith submitted a sketch showing the relative ~~provision~~ positions and rental values of the several buildings concerned together with a statement showing the comparative values of the buildings for your kind perusal (statement I)

4 It is seen from the facts placed thereon that in respect of buildings Nos 271/E (with three independent portions) 272/E (with two independent portions), 273/E including 274/E(with four independent portions and 259/H (with four independent portions excluding upstairs which can be used independently of the other portions) fetching a rent of, or having a rental value of Rs 225/- Rs 360/-, Rs 300/- and Rs 300/- for about 2/3rd portion of building No 259/H the existing valuation is Rs 700/- Rs 800/-, Rs 800/- and Rs 1,200/- respectively, while buildings Nos 73/E, 75/E and 288/E situated in a line with or opposite to the above set of buildings already stand valued at Rs 1,000/-, Rs 800/- and Rs 2000/- respectively, even though the annual rent realised is only

Rs 100/-,

Rs 100/-, Rs 100/- and 160/- respectively (Vide Columns 5 and 6 of of ~~tabulation~~ tabular statement I and the sketch attached) The very fact that the annual rent for each set of the first four buildings is twice, or more than twice of each of the latter set will itself prove that the former are really twice or thrice as valuable as the latter The existing unreasonable low valuation in respect of the first set, I found to be, probably due to the fact that the owners thereof were Municipal councillors and influential gentlemen

5 From the experience I had during my work of two and half months in the municipality and from the cases of sales and mortgages I could gather, I have carefully compared the buildings with those sold and mortgaged in different localities and set a reasonable valuation in respect of all the buildings In three out of the four cases cited by the Deputy Commissioner, as cases of enormous increase the revising authority have already passed orders fixing the valuation finally per margin (Vide also statement I enclosed)

Building number	Valuations		
	Previous	Estimated	Confirmed
271/E 3 parts	Rs 700/-	Rs 3,200/-	Rs 2,200/-
272/E 2 parts	" 800/-	' 5,000/-	" 3,600/-
273 & 274 4 parts	" 800/-	" 3,800/-	" 3,000/-
259/H 4 parts & upstairs	"1200/-	' 6,800/-	orders not yet passed

In the case of No 259/H the President and Sub-Division Officer have expressed that the valuation is fair (Vide col 8 of statement-I-A)

The facts noted in the margin go to prove that the valuation fixed by the Revising Authority is itself more than $3\frac{1}{2}$ to ~~as~~ $4\frac{1}{2}$ times the previous valuation and that it is only slightly less than that estimated by me and that the agitation of the owners of these four sets of properties is purely due to the fact that they will now be deprived of the long standing privilege of paying very low assessment owing to revision by Government agency

6 Now, coming to the cases of the second class viz

viz undervaluations in support of which the Deputy Commissioner has kindly cited five cases pointed out to him as most glaring during his inspection of the buildings, I beg to submit the following incident which perhaps is mainly responsible for the inference When I paid my respects to the Deputy Commissioner at Challakere on 17th October 1936, he expressed that during his inspection of buildings at Harihar, some cases of under-valuations were brought to his notice, and cited building No 142/D as the most glaring example which he was given to understand was fetching a rent of Rs 25/- P M , and permitted me to go through the list showing cases of undervaluations, whereon this observation regarding rent of Rs 25/- P M ,&c , was recorded The list in question is now available along with the connected records in the District Office In respect of this particular building I have set a valuation of Rs 1,500/- and proposed an assessment of Rs 7-8-0 as against the existing demand of Rs 6/- on a valuation of Rs 1,200/- I represented to the Deputy Commissioner that the building in the locality in which it is situated cannot be so valuable as to fetch a rent of Rs 25/- per mensem and that I could not have undervalued it As he desired to verify my statement he permitted me to ascertain and report the correct information which I have accordingly done in report No 112/36-37, dated 31st Oct 1936, (copy enclosed for kind perusal) bringing to notice that the building in question rented out to the Education Department is actually fetching a rent of Rs 7/- P M and not Rs 25/- as per false information furnished to him at the time of his inspection In this connection I beg to submit that it is a well known fact, that the Government generally pays a higher rent than private persons, and that therefore, the building in question can never fetch more than Rs 7/- P M , for whatever purpose it may be let out to other than a Government Department The above building forms one of the items

items stated to~~x~~ have been under-valued for which my explanation is now called for

7 The cases noticed as of undervaluations for which my explanation is now required are noted in the margin with their (1) Previous, (2) Present estimated and (3) accepted valuations, wherefrom it is seen that in respect of 58/A the valuation of Rs 1500/- set by me has been reduced to Rs 1,200/- by the Revising Authority This itself is a clear evidence to show that it was not at all a case of undervaluation Next as regards 142/D the low rent of Rs 7/- per mensem realised from the Education Department is itself a proof to ~~x~~ satisfy that the building cannot be estimated at more than Rs 1,500/- As regards the remaining three houses bearing Nos 127/D, 128/D and 130/D in respect of which the Revising Authority have not yet passed final orders, I beg to state that I have, after a very careful comparison of the buildings with those sold and mortgaged in the D division (as required by Rule 28 of Municipal Account Manual) set a valuation of Rs 700, 500/- and 1,500/- as against the previous valuation of Rs 500/-, 400/- and 1200/- respectively and I am of opinion that it would be unreasonable to set any higher valuation in respect of these buildings At this stage I beg to submit that in view of the fact that the building No 142/D was represented to fetch a rent of Rs 25/- per mensem while it actually fetched only Rs 7/- it is very likely that this instance might have led to the inference that the remaining buildings in the locality must also have been undervalued

No	Valuations		
	Previous	Proposed	Accepted
58/A	Rs 1,200/-	Rs 1,500/-	Rs 1,200/-
142/D	" 1,200/-	" 1,500/-	Orders are not yet passed by the Revising Authority
127/D	' 500/-	" 700/-	
128/D	400/-	' 500/-	
130/D	1,200/-	' 1,500/-	

8 Lastly, to sum up the above, I beg to submit that I feel

feel that the facts and figures furnished in (1) paras 4 and 5 of this report (2) the tabular statement I and (3) the sketch enclosed for kind perusal will convince you that the glaring differences in cases under Class I viz - enormous increases are due not to my valuations being excessive but evidently to the fact that the previous valuations in respect of buildings owned by Municipal councillors and influential gentlemen are unreasonably low and that the facts and figures set forth in paras 6 and 7 of this report and in statement 2 attached hereto will convince you that ~~there can be no case of undervaluations and that~~ the inference regarding undervaluations is probably due to mis-representation of facts and supply of false information during my absence, at the time of inspection of the buildings by the Deputy Commissioner, and that there can be no case of undervaluation in ry work

It may not be out of place to mention here that the agitation, mis-representation of facts and supply of false information are ~~xx~~ due to the strong local politics in the town a mention of which has already been made by the Deputy Commissioner, in para 6 of his letter No A6 305 / 36 37 Dated 18 10 36 to Government

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

U G Shamanna
Assessing Officer,
Chitaldrug District

Jagalur,
Dated 14 Feb 1937

Hc 25/37

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, Chitaldrug through the Sub-Divisional Officer, Davanagere for favour of perusal in continuation of this office No Hc 25/37 dated 31/1/37

U G Shamanna
Assessing Officer
Hanchur Town Municipality

SUB DIVISION OFFICE
Rs.
Date FEB 6 - 1937
DAVANGERE

4/2/37

Rs pr 193
6237

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog for kind perusal

[illegible]
SDO
6237



6731

Pr
11 2 37

Challakere, Dated 31st Oct 1936

TO

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

Chitaldrug District

Sir,

In obedience to kind permission granted to me in person on 18th Oct 1936 to find out correct information re the rent realised on the building of Mr Jade Subba Rao (142/D) near the Sri Harihareswara temple at Harihar, let out for holding the Primary Boys' school, I respectfully beg to submit that the rent derived from the said building is only Rs,7/- (rupees seven) P M ,and not Rs 25/- as per information supplied to the Deputy Commissioner (probably by some person interest in giving false information) on the occasion of his inspection of buildings in the said town in connection with the revision of assessment

Under the circumstances, I pray, Sir, that this information viz rental Rs 25/- per mensem &c , noted on the list submitted by the President Harihar Municipality, leading to the inference that the valuation set by me of the buildings in the "D' division is much under the existing market value may kindly be caused to be corrected as early as possible

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd U G Shamanna,
Assessing Officer

"Copy"

U G Shamanna
Assessing Officer
1/2/37

Statement showing the detailed information as to the municipality bases on which glaring differences in notice of the Deputy Commissioner are given below.

1 Serial Number	2 Assessment Number	3 Valuations fixed by local people previously	4 Valuation fixed by the Assessing Officer	5 Rent realizable per annum	6 Grounds on which the valuation is based by the Assessing Officer
1	271		1500	100	1) The market value of all these buildings has been es-
	271 A	700	1500	100	-mated by a careful compa-
	271 B		200	25	-rison with reference to
	E Dm				buildings no 276 276 A which
2	272		2500	180	in the same locality as nos
		800			271 & 274 and 259/H and for
	272 A		2500	180	a rent of about Rs 150 as a whole
	E Dm				per annum is purchased
					Rs 2000/- Regd Sale deed No 16
					2) Further a careful compa-
					-rison is also made with
					reference to buildings
	273		1500	100	Nos 73/E, 75/E and 288
	273 A		1500	100	which also stand adjacent
		800			Hanchar Shimoga Road
	274		400	50	fetch an annual rent
					Rs 100, Rs 100 and Rs 160/-
	274 A		400	50	are standing already
	E Dm				assessed on a value
					of Rs 1000/- Rs 800/- and
					respectively

as valuations are set by the Assessing Officer of buildings in the Harbour between the previous and postponed valuations are brought to the

and confirmed by the Revising Authority	Opinion of the President and the Sub-Division Officer Aravanapare who checked the work of the Assessing Officer at each stage Vide Statement enclosed with the Presidents report No 2/36 379 dt 25 9 36 (8)	9 Explanation by the Assessing Officer
	The original building is now elevated into three These buildings are situated in the main road They are valuable buildings ~~with three~~ made	Sl No 1 consists of three buildings with three independent tenements whose total rental value is Rs 225/ (Rupees) per annum payable in advance of the year
	These two buildings are situated in the Harbour Shunmoga Road Their value of Rs 800/- is very much below the actual figure The Revising Authority have inspected the buildings and fixed the valuation at Rs 1800/ each for Nos 272 & 272 A	Sl No 2 Consists of two buildings actually fetching a rent of Rs 360-0-0 per annum The reduction of Rs 1400 allowed by the Revising Authority is on two buildings and not on one
	All these valuable buildings are situated in the main Street	Sl No 3 Consists of four buildings are let out separately All these four buildings fetching a rent of Rs 300/ per annum are together standing assessed on a combined valuation of Rs 800 only

(continued on the next page)

Statement

1 Serial No.	2 Assessment Number	3 Valuation Authority last recorded previously	4 Valuation Authority by the Assessing Officer	5 Rent reasonable per annum	6 Grounds on which valuation is based by the Assessing Officer.
4	259	—	2000	100	Vide Column 6 of the first sheet
	259A		2000	100	
	259B	1200	2000	10	
	259(C)		800	Used by the owner together with upstairs above	

Note. — A knowledge of the facts set-forth in this statement and a reference to the sketch herewith submitted will prove that the glaring differences between the previous valuation and the present Estimate are due to the previous unreasonably low valuations made by local people on properties owned by municipal Councillors and other influential gentlemen (Vide paragraph 4 of the report and the sketch attached.

Jagalore
1-2-1937

No 1 (Continued)

7	8	9
Remarks and comments by Revising Authority	Opinion of the President Haulhan and the Subordinate Officer Dawanapere who checked the work of Assessing Officer at each stage Vide Statement enclosed to President's Report No 2/36-37 DJ 25 9 1936	Explanation by the Assessing Officer
rates not passed	All these four buildings are situated in the main road They are all valuable The Revising authority will fix the valuation He (the owner) has considerably improved and added to the old building It is centrally situated between the old town and new extension and is almost the centre of trade. The valuation is fair.	Sl No 3 In this case the three shop portions facing the Hambantota Road are let out on an annual rent of Rs 3001 payable in advance of the year The rear portions and the upstairs are now being used by the owner himself During the period of revision work the Assessing Officer had information to say that negotiations were going on to let out the upstairs on a rent of Rs 3001- per annum The Assessing Officer has left a note to these effects on the objection application of the owner in June 1936, soon after the objection application was presented

U G Amarasuriya

Assessing Officer

Statement showing the detailed information of valuations of [redacted] inspection of the buildings as cases of glaring instances of [redacted]

Serial No	Assessment Number	Valuation as per local members	Valuation as set by the Assessing Officer	Valuation accepted by the Revising Authority	Explanation of the Assessing Officer
1	58/A	1200	1500	1200	In this case the very fact that the Revising valuation set by me is itself an [illegible] undervaluation.
2	127/A	500	700	Orders not yet passed by the Revising Authority	The valuations noted in Column 4 in comparison with the buildings in Column 3 can be no undervaluation in these cases. At this stage it is to be brought to the notice of the buildings it was brought to the notice that building no 142/D was let while the whole building let out to tenant of only Rs 7-0-0 It is to be a case of facts and supply of false information have that resulted to the inference that [illegible] in respect of buildings in the D division and the copy of my report no 112/3 communications (copy enclosed for
3	128/D	400	500		
4	130/D	1200	1500		
5	142/D	1200	1500		

Tapalore
1-2-1937

...ings brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner at the time of his ...evaluations in the Shankar Municipality

6	7
	Remarks

...ming authority have passed orders reducing the ...evidence to prove that there was not a case of

1-

...have been set me after a very careful ...actually sold and mortgaged. There ...ases.

...notice that during the time of inspection ...falsely to the notice of the Deputy Commissi... ...fetching a rent of Rs 25-0-0 per mensem ...the Education Department is fetching a... ...submitted that it is misrepresentation ...when at each stage in my absence ...there must be several undervaluations ...sion Vide paras 6 and 7 of the report ...37 of 31-10-36 addressed to the Deputy ...ready reference)

1/2/37

U G Shamanna
Assessing Officer

Appendix I G—1]

SUBJECT

Section M
File No 22-36
Serial No 75

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 282

To

The D C Chitaldrug Dt
Chitaldrug.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 3 7 37

Signed by

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No A-6 C 30 36-37 dated 18th April 1937, regarding the affairs of the Harihar Town Mcty, I am directed to request you to expedite the submission of the further report promised by you on the following points, viz

(1) Revising the rates of taxation levied in the Mcty

(2) Reducing the levy of water tax in the Mcty

3) Re- the lumpsum Contribution to be paid by the Harihar Mcty to the Davangere Mcty

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

1/7/1937

I have the honour

Tl Add —Dp m
CHITALDRUG

In reply pl e f r to
No A6 C. 354/37-38
Encl su es five

me 22-86-80

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 6th August 1937

741

9 AUG 1937

From

Navaneetham Naidu Esquire B A,,B L
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

P H Krishna Rao Esquire M A ,
Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department,
B A N G A L O R E

Sir,

1) With reference to para 2 of your letter No L 4262/ M1 dated 11th December 1936 and in continuation of this office letter No A6 C 305/36-37 dated 18th April 1937 in the matter of revising the rates of octroi duty in Harihar Municipality (i,e, to reduce the rates of octroi duty to be in line with the rates prevailing in the neighbouring Davangere municipality), I have the honor to state that the subject was considered by the Harihar Municipal Council at its meeting held on 27th January 1937 when it was resolved that the same rates of octroi as are adopted by the Davangere municipality might be adopted and that the levy of octroi on jaggery and betel leaves might be discontinued A copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 27th January 1937 is enclosed herein for kind reference

2) The President states in his report No H C 25/36-37 dated 30th June 1937 that notifications both in English and Kannada (dated 14th May 1937) were issued calling for objections, if any, from the public re the proposed reduction of octroi rates and no objections were received in this respect The draft notifications published and received with the President's above report are enclosed herein for kind reference

3) In these circumstances, I request you to kindly obtain sanction of Government to the newly proposed rates as noted in the margin in lieu of the existing rates sanctioned in Government orde: No L 9662-63 M1 62-35-55 dated 24th June 1936 and to abolish

x Vide overleaf
62-35-55

the levy of octroi on betel leaves and jaggery as proposed by the Municipal Council

Sl No	Names of articles	Existing rate sanctioned as per G O No L 9662-63 M1 65-35-55 dated 24-6-1937	Proposed rate
1	Piece goods Rs 100 worth	Rs 1-9-0	Rs 1-9-0
2	Sugar per maund(including sugar candy)	0-2-0	0-1-0
3	Tobacco per maund	1-0-0	0-4-0
4	Cocoanut each	0-0-1	0-0-½
5	Dry cocoanut per maund	0-2-0	0-1-0
6	Kerosine oil tin each(4 gallons)	0-1-0	0-0-6
7	---Do--- half tin	0-0-6	
8	---Do--- quarter tin	0-0-3	
9	Betel leaves 100 per bundle	0-0-1	
10	Jaggory per maund	0-2-0	

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner

Chitaldrug District

7/8/37

Special me ting proceedings of the Harihar town municipal council held on 27th January 1937

1 Mr K Abdulla Wali Sheriff, President, President

xxx xxx xxx xx.

I ఈ మునిసిపాల్టీలకు హరిహర విధిస్తున్నట్లు ఆక్ట్రాయి తేది 27-1-37 నుండి అమలులోనికి వచ్చునట్లు ఆదేశించడమైనది. ఇట్లు ఆక్ట్రాయి వసూలు చేయుటకు ఏర్పాట్లు చేయవలసినదిగా కమీషనరు వారికి వ్రాయడమైనది.

ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್

ಹರಿಹರದ ಟರ್ಮ್ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟ್ರವರ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ

ನೋಟೀಸ್ ತಾರೀಖು 14ನೆಯ ಮೇ 1937

ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಜನಗಳಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ —ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಾದರಾಗಿರುವ 1936ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಜೂನ್ 24ನೆಯ ಮಲ್ಕಂ ನಂ ಎರ 9662 63—ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ 6ಎ-35-55ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ ಆರ್ಡರು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಈ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 1936ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ ಗುರ್ತಿ ರಾಗಾಯಿತು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ನರಕ ಗಳ ಮೇರೆ ಆಕ್ಟ್ರಾಯಿ ತೆರಿಗೆ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರ ವುದು ನರಿಯಷ್ಟೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ 1937ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ 27ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖು ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಮಿಟಿಂಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸ್ಪಟ್ಟ ದೆಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಎಳೆದೆರ ಇವುಗಳ ಮೆರೆ ಆಕ್ಟ್ರಾಯಿ ತೆರಿಗೆ ನಜಾ ಮಾಡ ಬೇಕಾಗಿಯೂ ಬಾಕಿ ನರಕುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಹಾಲಿ ಡಾವಣಿಗೆರೆ ಟರ್ಮ್ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರ ವ ದರಗಳಂತೆ (ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು) ಈ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕಾಗಿಯೂ ಸಹ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿರ ತ್ತ ಹಾಲಿ ಇರುವ ದರಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂಬುವ ದರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಕರಾರು ಗಳು ವ ತ್ತು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳು ಏನಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದ ಧಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ರಾಗಾಯಿತು ಒಂದೆ ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಬರಹ ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದಿರುತ್ತೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದಲ್ಲಿ ನಂತರ ಬರಬಹುದಾದ ತಕರಾರುಗಳನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಲಾಗು ವುದಿಲ್ಲ ತಿಳಿಯುವುದು

ಜಂಜರು ನಂಬರು	ನರಕುಗಳು	ಹಾಲಿ ಇರುವ ದರ ಗಳು	ಮುಂದೆ ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಬೇ ಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಪೋಜು ಮಾಡಿ ರುವ ದರಗಳು
		ರೂ ಆ ಪೈ	ರೂ ಆ ಪೈ
1	ಜವಳಿ (100 ರೂ ಬಾಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು)	1 9 0	1 9 0
2	ಸಕ್ಕರೆ ಮಣ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	0 2 0	0 1 0
3	ತಂಬಾಕು ಮಣ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	1 0 0	0 4 0
4	ತೆಂಗಿನಕಾಯಿ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	0 0 1	0 0 ½
5	ಕೊಬ್ಬರಿ ಮಣ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	0 2 0	0 1 0
6	ಸೀಮೆಎಣ್ಣೆ ಟಿನ್ 1ಕ್ಕೆ (4 ಗ್ಯಾಲನ್ಸ್)	0 1 0	0 0 6
	ಡಿಟ್ಟೋ ½ ಟಿನ್	0 0 6	
	ಡಿಟ್ಟೋ ¼ ಟಿನ್	0 0 3	
7	ಎಳೆದೆರೆ 100 ಕ್ಕೆ	0 0 1	
8	ದೆಲ್ಲ ಮಣ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	0 2 0	

ಕೆ ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ಲಾ ವಲಿಷೆರೀಫ್,
ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟ್

నోట్ఫికేషన్

ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್

ಹರಿಹರದ ಟರ್ಮ್ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟರವರ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ

ನೋಟೀಸ್ ತಾರೀಖು 14ನೆಯ ಮೇ 1937

ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಜನಗಳಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ —ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಾದರಾಗಿರುವ 1936ನೆಯ ಇನವಿ ಜರ್ 24ನೆಯ ಮಲ್ಕುಂ ನಂ ಎರ್ 9662 63—ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ 65-35-55ನೆಯ ನಂಬರು ಆರ್ಡರು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಈ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯ ಲ್ಲಿ 1936ನೆಯ ಇನವಿ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ ಗುರ್ರೈ ರಾಗಾಯಿತು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಸರಕುಗಳ ಮೇರೆ ಆಕ್ಟ್ರಾಯಿ ತೆರಿಗೆ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಷ್ಟೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ 1937ನೆಯ ಇನ್ನೂ ಜನವರಿ 27ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ವುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಮಿಟಿಂಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ದೆಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ವೀಳೆದೆರೆ ಇವುಗಳ ವೆರೆ ಆಕ್ಟ್ರಾಯಿ ತೆರಿಗೆ ರದ್ದು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಯೂ ಬಾಕಿ ಸರಕುಗಳ ಮೇರೆ ಹಾಲಿ ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ ಟರ್ಮ್ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ದರಗಳಂತೆ (ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು) ಈ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕಾಗಿಯೂ ನಮ್ಮ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ ಹಾಲಿ ಇರುವ ದರಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂಬುವ ದರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಕರಾರುಗಳು ವತ್ತು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳು ಏನಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ರಾಗಾಯಿತು ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಬರಹ ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದಿರುತ್ತೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ನಂತರ ಬರಬಹುದಾದ ತಕರಾರುಗಳನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸರಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ತಿಳಿಯುವುದು

ಜಂಜರು ನಂಬರು	ಸರಕುಗಳು	ಹಾಲಿ ಇರುವ ದರಗಳು	ಮುಂದೆ ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ದರಗಳು
		ರೂ ಆ ಪೈ	ರೂ ಆ ಪೈ
1	ಜವಳಿ (100 ರೂ ಬಾಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು)	1 9 0	1 9 0
2	ಸಕ್ಕರೆ ಮಣ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	0 2 0	0 1 0
3	ತಂಡಾಕು ಮಣ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	1 0 0	0 4 0
4	ತೆಂಗಿನಕಾಯಿ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	0 0 1	0 0 ½
5	ಕೊಬ್ಬರಿ ಮಣ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	0 2 0	0 1 0
6	ಎಣ್ಣೆ ಟಿನ್ 1ಕ್ಕೆ (4 ಗ್ಯಾಲನ್ಸ್)	0 1 0	0 0 6
	ಡಿಟೋ ½ ಟಿನ್	0 0 6	
	ಡಿಟೋ ¼ ಟಿನ್	0 0 3	
7	ವೀಳೆದೆರೆ 100ಕ್ಕೆ	0 0 1	
8	ದೆಲ್ಲ ಮಣ 1ಕ್ಕೆ	0 2 0	

ಕೆ ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ಲಾ ವಲಿಷೆರೀಫ್,
ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟ್

HARIHAR TOWN MUCIIPALITY

Notification dated 14th May 1937

Notice is hereby given to the inhabitants of the Harihar Town that the Municipal Council in their meeting held on 27th January 1937 have resolved to reduce the existing rate of octroi and to adopt the rate prevailing in the Davangere Municipality and to discontinue the levy of octroi on jaggary and betel leaves / Any inhabitant of the Municipality objecting to this may within one month from the date of this notice send his objections in writing to the Municipal Council after which no objections will be entertained

Sl No	Names of articles	Existing rate sanctioned as per G O No L 9662 63—Ml 65 35 55 dated 24th June 1936	Proposed rate
		Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Piece goods Rs 100 worth	1 9 0 ✓	1 9 0 ✓
2	Sugar per Md (including sugar candy)	0 2 0	0 1 0
3	Tobacco per Md	1 0 0	0 4 0
4	Cocoanut each	0 0 1	0 0 ½
5	Dry cocoanut per Md	0 2 0	0 1 0
6	Kerosine oil tin each (4 gallons)	0 1 0	0 0 6
	Kerosine oil half tin	0 0 6	
	Do quarter tin	0 0 3	
7	Betel leaves (100) per bundle	0 0 1	
8	Jaggary	0 2 0	

K Abdulla Wali Sheriff
President

నోండ్టిస్ నీ

రుణదరఖాస్తుదారుడు మునిసిపాలిటీ క్రింది నిబంధనలకు లోబడి
ఉండి నిర్మాణం

ఇందుకుగాను చదరపు అడుగు రూ. 3/- చొప్పున రుసుము
చెల్లించవలెను

కార్యనిర్వహణాధికారి కార్యాలయం తేదీ 24-6-34
నోటిఫికేషన్ No L 9662-63 dt 65-35-55 లో గల
ఆర్డర్ ప్రకారం తేదీ నుండి నిర్మాణాలు 1986
లో ఆగస్టు నెల లోపల పూర్తి చేయవలెను లేదా
రుణ దరఖాస్తు లోపల ఆ కాలపరిమితి పొడిగింపు
కొరకు దరఖాస్తు చేసుకోవలెను

కార్యాలయం 27-1-37 తేదీ నుండి పూర్తి
అయ్యే వరకు, పూర్తి అయ్యాక రెండేళ్ళలోపు
నోటిఫికేషన్ ప్రకారం ఆ కాలపరిమితి పొడిగింపు
కొరకు దరఖాస్తు చేసుకోవలెను

(దరఖాస్తు చేసుకున్న)
ఈ మునిసిపాలిటీ లోపల
దరఖాస్తుదారుడు ఇంటి నిర్మాణం
ఇలాంటి దరఖాస్తు చేసుకున్న తేదీ నుండి ఇంటి నిర్మాణం
దరఖాస్తు చేసుకున్న తేదీ నుండి పొడిగింపు
తేదీ లోపల పూర్తి చేయవలెను లేదా, అట్లు
లేని పక్షాన ఇందుకు చెల్లించిన రుసుమును తిరిగి
చెల్లించబడదు

ನಿವಾಲ ಆಫೀಸ ನಿಂ ಕಳ್ಳು ಸ್ಪ ಕೆಂ ರೆಕರ್ಡ್ ಇಂಪಾಲಿಕೆ ಕಳಿಸ್ಪ
ಪಳ್ಳಿ ಕಂಕರ ಬಾವ ಪ್ರಿಂಟಾಗ ಕರ್ಕಂಡಾಗ ಸ್ಟಾಕ್ ಇರ್ಪ ಅಂಕಿ
ಕಂಕ ನೀಲಾಂಸ ಳ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಿಳಿಸ್ಪ ಬೇಕ್ ಅಂಬ ಕ್ರಮ ಇದು

KA warachchi
President
Harihara Town Municipality
11/4/37

ಚಂಬ ಕಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು	ಕಾಲಾಚ್ಚಾ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು			ಮುಂದಿನ ಪಟ್ಟಿಗಾಗಿ ಉಳಿದ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು		
1	ಜವ್ವರಿ Rs. 100 ಅಂಕಿ	1	9	-	1	9	
2	ಸಕ್ಕರೆ 1 ಸೇ	-	2		0	1	
3	ಕಂಚಾಂಕಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 1 ಸೇ	-1			0	4	
4	ಕೊಂಕಣಿ 1 ಸೇ	0	0	1			1/2
5	ಕೊಬ್ಬರಿ 1 ಸೇ	0	2			1	
6	ಕಾಕಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಡಿಯಲು 1 ಸೇ (4 gallons)	0	1			6	
	" 1/2 tin	-		6			
	" 1/4 tin			3			
7	ಉಳ್ಳಾಗಡ್ಡಿ 100 ಸೇ	-					
8	ಇಳಿಸಿ 1 ಸೇ	0	2	1			

kba

KA warachchi
President
11/4/37

No. 215/36-37 Mem

Forwarded, to the Sanitary Inspector for wide publication by beat of tom tom and report

KA warachchi
President
11/4/37

Sinn ist Akte der [illegible]

By Board of Law of Taiwan

13/4/37

13/4/37

13/4/37

Tel Address —D pc m
CHITALDRUG

In reply plea e refer to
No A6.C 355/37-38
En losures Nil

mc 22-35-81

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONE
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 6th August 193 7

FROM

M Navaneetham Naidu Esquire B A ,B L,
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

9 AUG 1937

To

P H Krishna Rao Esquire M A ,
Secretary to Government,
Local Self-Government Department,
B A N G A L O R E

Sir,

With reference to para 4 of your letter No L 4262/M1 dated 11th December 1936 and in continuation of this office letter No A6 C 305/36-37 dated 18th April 1937 in the matter of reducing the levy of the present water-tax - [illegible], I have the honor to state that the subject was considered by the Harihar Municipal council at its meeting held on 27th January 1937, when it was resolved that the water-rate might be reduced to 50% of the house-tax with effect from 1937-38 A copy of the resolution of the municipal council dated 27th January 1937 is enclosed herein for kind reference

The President states in his report No H C 25/36-37 dated 30th June 1937 that when objections were called for from the public in the matter, no objections were received in this respect Copies of the draft notifications in English and Kannada dated 14th May 1937 are herein enclosed for kind reference

In these circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to reduce the existing water-tax by 50% and to give effect to the same from the current year as resolved by the Council

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

Navaneetham

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

Special meeting proceedings of the Haribar town Municipal Council held on 27th January 1937

1 Mr K Abdulla Sheriff, President, (Present)

Resolved that the water rate may be reduced to 50% of the house tax and this may be given effect to from the year 1937-1938

(Sd) K A Wali Sheriff,
President Haribar town Munucipali

"C P Y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

ನೋಟೀಸ್

ಡಂಡಿಡಂಡಂ ಟುಕ್ಕಡಮುನಿಸಿಕಲ್ಲಾಕ್ರೈಸಿತೆಂಟಿ
ರವರ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದಾ
ಇದಂದಕಾಲಮ್ಮೆ ಚನಗಳ್ಳಾಗಾ ತಿಳಿಯಚಡಿದಾ
ಲುದೇನಂದರೆ -

ಈಟಿಸನ್ನಿನಳ್ಳಾ ಹಾಲಾಗುಗಳೆಂಸಿಎಮ್ಮೆಧಿ
ಯೋಲ ಅಮ್ಮೋಲನೀಲಗಳೆಂಸೆ ಹಾಂತಿಂಲಲ್ಲದಾ
ದಂಯಲಮ್ಮೆ ಈಕೆಂಸೆ ಬಡಗ್ಗೆದುಬಾಂತಿಂಲ ದುಂದಿಂ
ಶೇಕರ ಕಂ/ರಮ್ಮಿಸ್ಸ ಕೆಂಸೆ ರಡಿಮೆ ನಾ ಡಬಿಕ್ಕಿ
ದಾ ಸ ಕಾಂಲ ಮಿ 27-1-3) ರಳ್ಳಿ ಗತದ ಸ್ಟಟಿಂಸಿಗಳ್ಳಿ
ತಿಳಿಸ್ಸಾ ಗಾಂತಿಂಲಲೆಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಳ್ಳಿಚಿತಿಂಲದಳ್ಳಿ
ತೆಳೆಂಲಿಂಲಸಗ್ಗಿ ಇದದಾ ಬ್ಲಾಗದಳ್ಳಿ ತೆಳ್ಳಿಲಾಂ
ಸಾಂಲ್ಯುಲು ಕಂದಾ ತಿಂಗಳ್ಳಿಲೆಂಲ್ಲಾ ಸಾಗಿ ಬಳಿದ
ಮೂಲಲಾ ಮಲನಿಸಿಕಲ್ಲ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಸೆ ತಿಳ್ಳಿದ
ರೆಪ್ಪ ಡಾಂಲಲೆಕ್ಕೆ ; ಸಾಂಲಿದೆ ಸಲೆಂಸಿ ಬಾಂದ
ತಿಲಾಂದ ಕರಂಲಾಸಗ್ಗಿ ಇಂ ಸಲಂದಲಾಂ ಸಾ
ಲಾಲ್ಲಾ ತಿಳಿಂಲಾಲಾಂ

Yours
President
Hanchinal Town Municipality
26/4/3)

Kon

Memo

Forwarded to our Sanitary Inspector for wide publication by beat of drum in town, and report by Mon 26/4/3

Sanitary Inspector

A Mahalingappa

[illegible] ಕಾಮಾನಿಷರ್ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಸಿಯರವರ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ

ನೋಟೀಸ್ 14 ನೆ ಮೇ 1937

[illegible]ರಿಂದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ — ಈ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಲು ಮನೆ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟಿದೆಯೋ ಅಷ್ಟೇ ನೀರು ತೆರಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಬಹಳ ದುಬಾರಿ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಶೇಕಡ 50 ರಷ್ಟು ತೆರಿಗೆ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಬಹುದಾಗಿ 1937[illegible] ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 28ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನವರೆಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಶನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಮಾತುಕಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ [illegible] ಈ ತೆರಿಗೆಯಿಂದ ಒಂಟಿ ಕಂಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಬರಹ ಮೂಲಕ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸತಕ್ಕ [illegible] ನಾಳಿನಿಂದ ಗಣನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕೆ ಅಬ್ದುರ್ರಾ ವಲಿಷೆರೀಫ್,

ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟ್

HARIHAR TOWN MUNICIPALITY

Notification dated 14th May 1937

Notice is hereby given to the inhabitants of the Harihar Town that the Municipal Council in their meeting held on 27th January 1937 have resolved to reduce the existing water rate at 50 per cent of the house tax

Any inhabitant of the Municipality objecting to this may within one month from the date of this notice send objections in writing to the Municipal Council after which no objections will be entertained

K ABDULLA WALI SHEBIFF
President and Returning Officer

ಹಿರಿಯ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಚೇರಿ ಸಾಗರ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಾಗರ

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಂದ ಸಾಗರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 14/5/37 ರಲ್ಲಿನ ಸುಮಾರು 1 ಎಕರೆ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕಂದಾಇ 1 ಭೂಮಂ 2000 ದಿನಾಂಕ 25/5/2001 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಆದೇಶ

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 20/8

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section ML
File No 22-36
Serial No 65

No L 2066

To

The D C Chitaldrug Dt

Sir,

With refce to the Correspondence ending with your letter No A 6 C 354 37/38 dated 6th Aug 1937, requesting that Sanction may be accorded to the proposal of the Harihar Town ML Cl to reduced the rates of octroi duty on certain articles imported into the ML limits of Harihar Town Etc, I am directed to request you to enquire and intimate how the ~~Octroi duty on tobacco~~ ad Valorem octroi duty levied on Tobacco in Davanagere Town Mfg works out per Maund, and whether the ~~Sanction~~

WD 0521—GPB—30 000—12 3 37

rate now proposed in Harihar Town Mlty
on Tobacco, will be the same
as in Davangere

The favour of an urgent reply
is requested

I have the

2/11/37.
For Secy to Govt
from L S G Dept
Dte
17/8/37.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L2066/ml22 3685 — Dated Bangalore 20th August 1937

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

Local Self Government Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no A 6 C 354/37-38 dated 6th August 1937, requesting that sanction may be accorded to the proposal of the Harihar Town Municipal Council to reduce the rates of octroi duty on certain articles imported into the Municipal limits of Harihar Town etc, I am directed to request you to enquire and intimate how the advalorem Octroi duty levied on Tobacco in Davangere Town Municipality works out per maund, and whether the rate now proposed in Harihar Town Municipality on Tobacco will be the same as in Davangere.

The favour of an urgent reply is requested

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Illegible

For Secretary to Government.
L.S.C Department

ACH 2.

ml 22-36-88 2116

Deputy Commissioner

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 30th October 1937

l Add —Dism g
CHITALDRUG

In ply pl a ef to
N af ' 30 /17-38
E los es

FROM M NAVANEETHAM NAIDU Esq BA BL
~~N SUNDARAPAJA IYENGAR Esq BA~~
~~District Magistrate~~ Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

SECY LOCAL SELF GOVT
27 OCT 1937
GOVT OF MYSORE

PISHARODY Esq
Secretary to Government of Mysore
Local Self-Government Department
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your letter No L 2066/11 Misc-36-88 dated the 30th August 1937, regarding the proposed levy of Octroi duty on tobacco in Harihar Town Municipality as compared with the Octroi duty levied on tobacco in Davangere Municipality I have the honor to state as follows -

It is reported that Octroi duty at six pies per rupee ad valorem is being levied on tobacco in Davangere Municipality The cost of tobacco per pound in Davangere ranges from As 1/- to As 6/- and the levy of octroi duty per pound would thus amount to annas two to annas three in Davangere Municipality

The rate of Octroi duty of annas four per pound of Tobacco proposed to be levied in the Harihar Town Municipality would be a little in excess of the rates levied in Davangere Municipality

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug Dist

vr/2

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

4 Copies

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 12-3-37 193

Signed by

Section Ml

File No 22 36

Serial No 89

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— G o No L 9662-63 Ml 62-35-1 55 dated 24th June 1936, Sanctioning the levy of octroi duty on the following ~~unassimilated noted~~ articles, as noted below, imported into the limits of the Harihar Town Mlty at the rates noted against each of them

Order thereon.—No 2) Correspondence ending with letter No Ab C 854/37-38 dated 6th Aug 1937, from the D C Chitaldrug Dt, Requesting Sanction, in the Circumstances reported, to the Proposal of the Harihar Town Ml Cl to revise the existing rates of octroi duty

Articles	Existing rate
~~1) Dry Cocoanuts~~	
) Piece goods	Rs 1-9-0 Per 100 worth
) Cocoanuts	0-0-1 Each
) Dry Cocoanuts	0 2-0 Per MD of 960 lbs
) [illegible] including betel leaves	0-2-0 Per Maund
) Tobacco	1-0-0 Per MD
) Kerosene oil	0-1-0 Per Tin
,,	0-0-6 Per ½ Tin
,,	0-0-3 Per ¼ Tin
) Jaggery	0-2-0 Per MD
) Betel leaves	0-0-1 Per 100

The articles referred to above under, and also to abolish levy of octroi duty on Betel nuts and Jaggery brought within limits of the said Municipality

Articles	Proposed rate Rs as P
Piece goods Rs 100 worth	1-9-0
Sugar Refined (including Sugar candy) ℔	0-1-0
Tobacco per maund	0-4-0
Cocoanut (~~Pucca~~) each	0-0-½
Dry Cocoanuts Per maund	0-1-0
Kerosene oil tin (4 gallons each)	0-0-6
~~7) Betel leaves~~	

Order IVO ~~L.S.218-19/Mc 22 36-89 dated 10 12 37~~

List of Enclosure

The Proposal of the Municipal Council is sanctioned the ~~Proposed~~ revised rates ~~of octroi~~ ~~duty~~ will come into effect from Ist Jan 1938

6 12 37

Secy to Govt

Copied by

Examined by

2/1/38 from D S R

To The D C Chitaldrug Dt

The Commissioner to Govt

WD (3602—GPB—22 500—12 7 35

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read –

Government Order No L 9662-63/M1 62-35-55 dated 24th June 1936, sanctioning the levy of octroi duty on the following articles imported into the limits of the Harihar Town Municipality at the rates noted against each of them:-

	Articles	Existing rate
1	Piece goods	Rs 1-9-0 per Rs 100 worth
2	Cocoanuts	" 0-0-1 each
3	Dry Cocoanuts	" 0-2-0 per Md of 960 tolas
4	Sugar including sugar candy	" 0-2-0 per maund
5	Tobacco	" 1-0-0 per maund
6	Kerosene oil	" 0-1-0 per tin
	"	" 0-0-6 per ½ tin
	"	" 0-0-3 per ¼ tin
7.	Jaggery	" 0-2-0 per mannd
8	Betel leaves	" 0-0-1 per 100.

2 Correspondence ending with letter No A6 C 354/37-38 dated 6th August 1937 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, requesting sanction, in the circumstances reported, to the proposal of the Harihar Town Municipal Council to revise the existing rates of octroi duty on the articles referred to above as under, and also to abolish the levy of octroi duty on Betel leaves and Jaggery brought within the limits of the said Municipality:-

Articles	Proposed rate Rs	A	P
1) Piece goods Rs 100 worth	1-	9-	0
2) Sugar per maund (including sugar candy)	0-	1-	0
3) Tobacco per maund	0-	4-	0
4) Cocoanut each	0-	0-	½
5) Dry Cocoanuts per maund	0-	1-	0
6) Kerosene oil tin (4 gallons each)	0-	0-	6

ORDER NO: L 5218-19/Rev 22-36-89

Dated, Bangalore, the 7th December 1937

The proposal of the Municipal Council is sanctioned The revised rates will come into effect from 1st January 1938

For Secretary to Government,
L S G, Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

" Comptroller to Government

S R 4

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

P L D IV

Date of issue 22 12 37

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section ML

File No 22-36

Serial No

No 2 5-5-20

To

The D C Chitaldrug Dt

Chitaldrug

Sir,

The Correspondence ending with
with reference to your letter No Ab C-
355/37-38 dated 6th Aug 1937
Requesting Sanction to the proposal
of the Harihar Town Municipal Council to
reduce the existing water rate
tax by 50% 50 Percent & to give
effect to the same from the
Current Year Fasli, I am directed to
state that the water rate may
be kept up at the present level,
pending decision of the question
as to what arrangements should
be adopted to ensure adequate
water supply to the town and

WD 0521—GPB—30 000—12 3 37

[illegible] submission of the
[illegible] report [illegible]
by you in this matter, in [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

Memorandum [illegible] dated 22/12/37
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 22/12 193

No L 55-10/me 22-86

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local Self Government ~~*Development Department*~~

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District, Chitaldrug

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.A6 C 355/37-38 dated 6th August 1937 requesting sanction to the proposal of the Harihar Town Municipal Council to reduce the existing water tax by 50 per cent and to give effect to the same from the current year, etc , I am directed to state that the water rate may be kept up at the present level, pending decision of the question as to what arrangements should be adopted to ensure adequate water supply to the town and how the same should be financed.

The submission of the further report promised by you in this matter may kindly be expedited.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd P H Krishna Rao
Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department.

Aga/3

Memo No L 81-11/me Dated, Bangalore the ~~17th~~ 22nd December 1937

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Director of Public Health in Mysore, for information

By Order,

Asst Secretary to Government,
For Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department

DSR

5477

SECOND MEMBER COUNCIL
17 MAY 1938
N m 384

To

The Secord Member in Council ~~Mysore~~,
Bangalore

Re-Water Tax

Dear sir,

Harihar Town has the advantage of having a water supply however irefficient it may be working This fecility created by the municipality gave scope for a water tax being levied from the residents of the town when this scheme was launched, for purposes of meeting the first heavy expenses, the municipality seems to have resolved about thirty years back to collect an amount of tax equal to the house tax that was levied

The water works done, the times being fairly happy and progressive, the public did not mind paying something to the improvement of the water works There was no improvement made though people willingly paid for the betterment of waterworks Then alone the public have felt it a heavy burden and have been pressing for reduction since then

Nearwhile the timescharged The people began to feel for every pie they pay A hue&cry was raised that the water tax was top heavy, whereas in all towns in the state it was only about 25% of the house tax There has been no reason for this heavy taxation when the supply of water was unscientific and inadequate

The public of Harihar waited in deputation on the second member of the council in 1936 at Bangalore and subsquently the municipal council passed a resolution reducing the tax to eight annas in a rupee as at Davangere and few other places

Now the housetax has been revised and increased, the amount of watertax increases automatically The principle of taxation thatthe watertax must be equal to the house tax does not justify Besides this rise in increased taxation,we may have to add a 25% of the lighting tax which is going to be imposed shortly Thus the voice of the publichas not met with any favourable result The same old taxation continues

The other side of the question arises as the municipality is financially not sound, thiswould upset the balances and budgets ? This problem is as important to us as to the municipality There are methods of improving the finances by taxing such products that shall not touch the pocket of the residents of Harihar but which on the other hand build up the finances of the municipality and they have been placed before the second member and the municipality The bamboos, the silks and the yarn that are being imported into our town if taxed would bring a good amount of revenue to the municipality and would not worry the tax payer

Having all these advantages, it is rather a matter of sorrow as to why the Government does not honour the resolution passed by the council We have been much tired of the heavy taxation and the poor and the rich have been desperate

Hence we the public of Harihar place before you the facts and if the water tax is not reduced in terms of the resolution i e at eight annas per rupee, we resist paying water-tax at the usual rates We wish that the Goverrment and the municipality will not sleep over the matter and we believe an immediate relief is provided

Yours faithfully,
D S Sarma
Residents of Harihar town

Harihar
10-5-1938
13

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog
Copy to the President Town Municipality Harihar



Sh 77 5933

G I
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
21 JUNE 1938
N m 4 24

From D S Sarma
Harihar

To The Second member in council,
Govt of Mysore,
Bangalore

Re:- Water tax at Harihar

Dear Sir,

We wish to remind you of our petition dated 7th may 1938, requesting you to reduce the water tax in terms of the resolution of the municipal council at eight annas per rupee, and also desire to know if steps have been taken to enquire into the details of the methods suggested by us to improve the financial position of the municipality

We await your early orders

Yours faithfully,

D S Sarma
19 6 38

G
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
23 JUNE 1938
No 9746

Harihar
19-6-38

yes
Send back the file with the approval as also
put up as directed above

Levy 1 1/2

The rest may come
A D O letter may be sent to
the Deputy there to report
at once on enquiry
Member

22-6-1938

shall do

Reduction of water leg in Hawthorn Town Hully

5933/2 Issued No 2/10288 Dy 24 6 38 5933/2

Issued and received back a/ff Do letter for approval

25/6 38

Issue the four copy of the D O to be submitted to the Secy for signature

2
25/6/-

To the Engineer Chitaldrug
L 10319 Dy 25 6 38
Calls for the report

Issued (urgent)
Fee 10/7/38
29 6 38

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore Dated ~~2-4th June 1938~~ —

No L 10268/Ml 22-36

ENDORSEMENT

Granted to Mr D S Sharma and other residents of Harihar Town, Harihar, Chitaldrug District

---

With reference to their petition dated 19th June 1938 re reduction of water tax in the Harihar Town Municipality, Mr D S Sharma and others are informed that the matter is receiving attention

By Order,

Sd/- P H Krishna Rao,

Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department

Memo No L 10269 dated 24 6 38

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, for submission an immediate report as called in the correspondence ending with this office No L 5010/Ml 22-36-dated 22nd December 1937 and subsequent reminders ending with No L 9428 dated 19th May 1938 ~~Copies of the petitions received are also enclosed for information.~~

By Order,

T. D) 24/6/38

Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to 5933

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 24-6-38

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Pol

File No 22-38

Serial No

No L/10258

To be granted to

Mr D S Sharma and others residents of Harchar Town

Harchar

Chital Gang Distt

Kaira regarding the Jhera

petn of 19-6-38 re removal of Harchar Township,

Kaira Mr D S Sharma & others

are informed that the matter

is receiving attention

By Order

22638

Secy to Govt

2/22/61-

22-6-38

Memo No L/10259

Copy of the above is forwarded

to the D.C Chital for

WD 0278—GPB—30 000—4 3 38

for [illegible] of any [illegible] as called for
in the case ending with this file
no L 5370 / Mlaw-36-23 22/12/23
subsequent remarks ending with no L 9429 dt 18/5/24
copies of the petition need also be forwarded
for info

D-D
2/12/61
[illegible]
for L & J dept

6138 & 6132

Tel Add s —DEPCOM Chitaldrug

In reply please refer
No A 6.C.305/36-37
Encls One

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 6th June 1938 193

Pl 22-36-90

FROM

M NAVANEETHAM NAIDU ESQ BA BL
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

P H Krishna Rao, Esquire, M A,
Secretary to Government,
Local Self-Government Department,
BANGALORE

SIR

Subject - Re- reduction of water tax in Harihar Town Municipality

With reference to your letter No L 5510/Ml 22-36 dated 22nd December 1937 and subsequent reminder dated 19th May 1938, calling for further report on the subject noted above, I have the honour to state as follows -

1) *The proposal of the Harihar Town Municipal Council was to reduce the existing water tax by fifty per cent to Govt. This was submitted (in this office letter No A 6 C 355/37-38 dated 6th August 1937) During the Second Member's tour to the District in November last, this matter was examined as to its desirability, financial implications etc, in relation to the other pending question of taking filtered water supply from the Davangere Water Works at Harihar Upon that, Government have ordered that " the water rate may be kept up at the present level, pending decision of the question as to what arrangements should be adopted to ensure adequate water supply to the town and how the same should be financed " (vide your letter dated 22nd December 1937 under reference)*

2) *The President Harihar Municipal Council to whom the orders of Government were communicated was personally explained the position and instructed to place the matter of the water supply*

improvement question before the Municipal Council for consideration. It is reported that the Municipal Council considered the question at its meeting held on 31st March 1938, and that it was resolved that the supply of filtered water from the Davangere Water Works might be taken to Harihar Town, after the construction of the Settling Tanks and the Jewel Filters to the Davangere Water Works was over (vide resolution copy enclosed)

3) This Settling Tank and Jewel Filter construction work in respect of the Davangere Water Works at Harihar has just been taken up by the Sanitary Engineering Department

4) During my visit to Harihar and during my inspection of the Harihar Municipal Office, I looked into this matter and discussed it with the President, the Vice President and some of the Municipal Councillors It seems to me that the Municipal Council has not considered the question seriously In spite of advice and instructions, they have not resolved about the contribution to be paid by the Harihar Municipality for supply of filtered water from the Davangere Water Works The Davangere Municipal Council considered in this connection, the question of rate of charges for supply of water to Harihar Town, and intimated the Harihar Municipality the marginally noted terms based on actual cost and asked for an undertaking The Harihar Municipal Council has not finally decided the question but has resolved to take up the matter after the construction of the Settling Tank and Jewel Filter for the Davangere Water Works

At 5 6 annas per 1000 gallons, for the first 40000 gallons (minimum quantity to be taken) a day at 5 annas per 1000 gallons for any quantity in excess of 40000 gallons a day,	

5) In this connection, I may add, that the financial condition of the Municipality is not at all satisfactory The outstandings are still very heavy and there are no additional resources The Budget Estimates for the coming year are separately under submission to Government, and there would not be the prescribed closing balance kept up I checked the

last week, the collections and I have given special instructions and warnings to the President and the staff for putting forth vigirous efforts for collecting the arrears and showing satisfactory progress A watch is kept

6) The present water supply of Harihar Town is quite unsatisfactory and is not a protected water supply, and there is real need for taking filtered water supply from the existing Davangere Water Works at the place itself without much extra cost over the present expenditure I have, once again, pointed this out to the Harihar Municipality, and it has promised to take up this matter of filtered water supply for Harihar from the Davangere Water Works, after the Settling Tank and Jewel Filter construction work in respect of the Davangere Water Works is over

7) Even to meet the present water supply charges, the existing rate of water tax is necessary and no reduction in the present rate of water tax would seem called for or desirable now

8) In these circumstances, I beg to submit that the present rate of water tax may be continued, without any reduction

I have the honour to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

Navaneeth

26/6/38

Deputy Commissioner

Chitaldrug District

31 3 1938 నాడు కొండపల్లి వార్డు సభ్యులు వార్డు సమావేశంలో

3 30 గంటలకి వార్డు కాంగ్రెస్ కమిటీ వారి తీర్మానమును

శ్రీ వల్లభనేని శేషయ్య గారి దృష్టికి తీసుకొని వచ్చిరి

తీర్మానం

కాంజరి 11 కోవీకాంజరి 10

Subject No VII

సంవత్సరానికి ఒకసారి వచ్చేటువంటి

రెండవ వార్డు సమావేశం నందు

కొండపల్లి మున్సిపాలిటీ యొక్క

తాగుబోతుతనమును పురస్కరించుకొని

రద్దు చేయవలసినదిగా కోరుట

నం మీ మేరకు

Resolution -

సంవత్సరానికి ఒకసారి

మున్సిపల్ వార్డు సమావేశంలో

మన్సబ్ జిల్లా కలెక్టరు అధికారం వాంఛ్

కొండపల్లి మున్సిపాలిటీని

(completely failure అయినదని)

తీసివేయవలసినదిగా కోరుతూ

తీర్మానం చేయబడినది.

× × × ×

(Sd) P. Bharamaiah

President

31 3 38

Municipal Commissioner

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner

Vijayawada District

26/6/38

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Ml

File No 22-3b

Serial No 91

Diary No of letter replied to 6137

No 274

To The Dy Comm Chittagong Dist

General and Revenue Secretariat

(.)

the *193*

Sir

Date of issue 4 7 38

Subject - Reconstituting Municipal Corp in Hatchar Town Municipality

Signed by H M S H

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No dt C 305/36 37 dated 26 6 38 on the above subject I am directed to state that Govt agree that the present Municipal Corp may be continued for a further period of 3 years without any reduction

List of enclosures

I have etc

Sd

27 38

Copied by

Examined by

Secy to Govt

Legislative Dept

2/1/7/-

675 4 38

WD 6378—GPB—30,000—4 3 38

Copy forwarded to the Dir of P I for info

2/1/7/-

Asst Secy to Govt

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L74/Rel 22-36-91

Dated Bangalore 3rd/4 July 1938

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*
Local Self Government Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District,
Chitaldrug

Sir,

Subject - Reduction of water-tax in Harihar Town Municipality

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No A1 C 305/36-37 dated 26th June 1938 on the above subject I am directed to state that Government agree that the present rate of water tax may be continued without any reduction

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Sd P H Krishna Rao

Secretary to Government
L S G Department

Memo No L75

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Director of Public Health in Mysore for information

By Order

4/7/-

Secretary to Government
L S G Department

GRA/3-